

2015-16

Administrative Evaluation System Template



Rule 6A-5.030 Form AEST-2015 Effective Date: July 1, 2015

> Sumter County Richard A. Shirley 2015-16

Table of Contents

- 1. Performance of Students
- 2. Instructional Leadership
- 3. Other Indicators of Performance
- 4. Summative Evaluation Score
- 5. Additional Requirements
- 6. District Evaluation Procedures
- 7. District Self-Monitoring
- 8. Appendix A Checklist for Approval

Directions:

This document has been provided in Microsoft Word format for the convenience of the district. The order of the template shall not be rearranged. Each section offers specific directions, but does not limit the amount of space or information that can be added to fit the needs of the district. All submitted documents shall be titled and paginated. Where documentation or evidence is required, copies of the source document(s) (for example, rubrics, policies and procedures, observation instruments) shall be provided. Upon completion, the district shall email the template and required supporting documentation for submission to the address <u>DistrictEvalSysEQ@fldoe.org</u>.

******Modifications to an approved evaluation system may be made by the district at any time. A revised evaluation system shall be submitted for approval, in accordance with Rule 6A-5.030(3), F.A.C. The entire template shall be sent for the approval process.

1. <u>Performance of Students</u>

Directions:

The district shall provide:

- For all school administrators, the percentage of the evaluation that is based on the performance of students criterion as outlined in s. 1012.34(3)(a)1., F.S., along with an explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)1., F.A.C.].
- For all school administrators, confirmation of including student performance data for at least three years, including the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available. If less than the three most recent years of data are available, those years for which data are available must be used. If more than three years of student performance data are used, specify the years that will be used [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)3., F.A.C.].
- For school administrators, the district-determined student performance measure(s) used for personnel evaluations [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(a)7., F.A.C.].

.....

Student Growth Measures Score (SGMS)

For all school administrators, the percentage of the evaluation based on the performance of students is 34%. This portion of the evaluation is based on either growth or proficiency level.

.....

The student performance portion of the evaluation will include growth or proficiency data for students assigned to the school over the course of three years, including the current school year. If less than three years of data are available, the years for which data are available will be used, including the current school year. In order to include student data for the current school year for which the administrator is being evaluated, the evaluator will finalize the annual administrator assessment form when the data becomes available during or after the close of the school year.

.....

The student performance portion of the evaluation is based on data and indicators of student learning growth assessed annually by state-adopted value-added formula (VAM) and state related assessments. The state-adopted VAM will be utilized for measuring student learning growth in all courses associated with statewide assessments. For all other grades and subjects, the district will utilize proficiency levels for determining student performance.

RATING CALCULATION PROCEDURES

The academic performance score will be determined by the weighted average based upon the number of students taking each exam. For year-long courses, scores for students present in both FTEs will be used. For block or single-semester courses, scores will be based on the percentage of time students are enrolled in the class (i.e., 90% of course length).

PROFICIENCY SCALE

An average student score will be established per test utilizing national, state, or district averages.

	Average				
Range	-10% and below -9.99% - 5.00% -4.99% - +4.99% +5.00% and al				
Score	1	2	3	4	

FINAL EVALUATION CALCULATIONS

Student Growth Measure	34%
Leadership Practice Score	46%
Deliberate Practice Score	20%
TOTAL	100%

2. Instructional Leadership

Directions

The district shall provide:

- For all school administrators, the percentage of the evaluation system that is based on the instructional leadership criterion as outlined in s. 1012.34(3)(a)3., F.S., along with an explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)1., F.A.C.].
- Description of the district evaluation framework for school administrators and the contemporary research basis in effective educational practices [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)2., F.A.C.].
- For all school administrators, a crosswalk from the district's evaluation framework to the Principal Leadership Standards [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)3., F.A.C.].
- Observation or other data collection instrument(s) that include indicators, organized by domains, based on each of the Principal Leadership Standards, and additional elements provided in s. 1012.34(3)(a)3., F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)4., F.A.C.].
- Procedures for observing and collecting data and other evidence of instructional leadership [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(c)5., F.A.C.].

Leadership Practice Score (LPS)

The *Leadership Practice Score* is measured using the *Sumter Leadership Appraisal Matrix* and comprises 46% of the overall evaluation. The matrix is designated for use with principals, assistant principals and assistant principal interns. The four domains included in the instrument embody the Florida Principal Leadership Standards and multiple indicators. The matrix addresses four possible ratings in each of the domains.

Leadership Appraisal Matrix

The Sumter School District *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* describes a fair and clear system for evaluating behaviors and characteristics of leaders based on observation of the leader's actions and the leader's impact on actions and behaviors of others. Ratings include "highly effective," "effective," "needs improvement," or "unsatisfactory." The matrix is weighted to arrive at score ranges for these proficiency levels. Striving toward increased aptitude, the leader demonstrates understanding of the following performance designations assigned by law:

• <u>Highly Effective</u> leaders have an impact on an entire organization and are a primary source of developing additional leadership in their organization. Their performance is beyond effective.

They routinely share their ideas, mentor others and view their roles as improving a single entity but also acting as an agent of system-wide improvement.

- <u>Effective</u> leaders impact organizational needs within their school by reinforcing and challenging students, teachers and leaders within. They meet requirements for success. Their performance is both adequate and necessary for improvement and clearly makes a contribution to their school. While their work is challenging, rigorous, and demanding, they can be proud of their achievements.
- <u>Needs Improvement</u> leaders are principals and assistant principals designated as demonstrating potential but lacking sufficient proficiencies to improve student learning, instructional practice, and/or other responsibilities. They understand what is required for success and are willing to work toward that goal with coaching and support for improved performance. They have a desire and personal motivation to make decisions necessary to become more effective leaders within a reasonable time.
- **Developing** is the designation reserved solely for candidates in the Leadership Development Program who have garnered an "assistant principal intern" placement at a school. Adjustments are made by evaluators as appropriate on the school leader evaluation rubric regarding expectations of assistant principal interns vs. bona fide assistant principals or principals. For the assistant principal interns, levels of proficiency including depth, breadth, knowledge, skill and evidence of demonstration may be modified as deemed appropriate by the evaluator.
- <u>Unsatisfactory</u> describes leaders who are inadequate. They do not understand what is required for proficiency or have demonstrated through their action and inaction that they choose not to strive for improvement. The goal is to identify, define, and document inadequate performance and make necessary changes very quickly.

Domain Ratings

The *Leadership Practice Score* is aligned with performance on each of the four leadership domains. Each domain has a varied number of standards and indicators. Through the preponderance of evidence provided by the school administrator and documented by the supervisor on each indicator within a domain, the overall proficiency recommendation for the domains will be determined.

Domain	Title	Standards	Indicators	Weight
Domain 1	Student Achievement	2	6	20
Domain 2	Instructional Leadership	3	17	40
Domain 3	Organizational Leadership	4	21	20
Domain 4	Professional and Ethical Behavior	1	6	20

Overview

The *Sumter Leadership Appraisal Matrix,* considered as one element in the school district's leadership evaluation, is designed to incorporate all four domains of the Florida Principal Leadership Standards: Student Achievement, Instructional Leadership, Organizational Leadership, and Professional and Ethical Behavior. The domains are defined by ten (10) interfacing standards and are further described by specific indicators of a scoring rubric classifying behaviors and characteristics of leaders at four (4) performance levels. All principals, assistant principals, and assistant principal interns are evaluated annually by their immediate supervisor using the Sumter School District *Leadership Appraisal Matrix*. Such evaluation shall be ongoing, sufficiently specific, and frequent enough to support demonstrated proficiency.

Complementing the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* are additional gauges of performance: *Deliberate Practice* and *Student Growth Measures* that, when combined provide an *Annual Performance Level* rating. This is an overall rating encompassing outcomes from each of these measures and is the culmination of a year's work reflective of the success of the school leader.

The evaluation includes a minimum of three conferences held each year. The initial conference is held prior to the end of September to review and establish goals and expectations. Goal setting is based on prior evaluation needs, current school/district needs, student performance, new legislation, and the Florida Principal Leadership Standards. A mid-year progress check accompanied by documentation is conducted in December or January. A final evaluation conference is held following release of state assessment scores. Discussions concerning expected outcomes in relationship to specific site conditions are ongoing throughout the evaluative process. An evaluation summary shall be maintained in the respective administrator's personnel file. A copy of the evaluation shall be provided to the person being evaluated.

Evaluation depends on the candid acknowledgment of a leader's successes, needs for growth, and the clear association of those leadership practices with results. This gives the leader the opportunity to provide feedback to the school district, empowers the leader with authority to make decisions that will improve personal effectiveness, is standards-based, allows for honest and accurate feedback, and provides for clear descriptions of leadership behaviors. The leader expects to grow, develop, learn, and improve.

Guided by a proactive evaluation, the leader can identify specific areas in which development and growth are most needed. The Sumter School District provides this leadership evaluation as a constructive, fair, and clear system for describing behaviors and characteristics that separate highly effective leaders from those who are deemed effective, needing improvement or unsatisfactory in meeting essential leadership standards.

Appraisal focuses on individual abilities in the achievement of school, district, and personal goals and links possible rewards and recognition to successful performance. This may include public recognition, letters of commendation, or monetary compensation that may be awarded as per district guidelines. The Sumter leadership appraisal provides a framework for the following:

- Enabling self-assessment and personal reflection contributing to success of the school leader
- Affording a focus for professional growth and continuous personal improvement
- Guiding evaluators in making consistent and fair judgments concerning proficiency
- Provision of specific feedback, mentoring, coaching, and collaboration to improve proficiency and performance
- Designating an annual performance level based on measures of the Florida Principal Leadership Standards, Deliberate Practice initiatives, and student growth outcomes
- Affording a basis for improvements within specific schools and the Sumter School District

Sumter School District Research Base for School Leader Evaluation

The system used in the Sumter School District for school leader evaluation is aligned with research frameworks identified by the Florida Department of Education as acceptable for this purpose. The Sumter School District school leader evaluation exclusively integrates indicators from the Florida School Leader Assessment (state pre-approved rating rubrics) and Dr. Douglas B. Reeves' framework "The Leadership Performance Matrix" referenced in *Assessing Educational Leaders: Evaluating Performance for Improved Individual and Organizational Results (2009)*. The evaluation guides improvement in the quality of administrative services that support increased student learning growth

The framework meets the following requirements:

- Aligned with the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices (FEAPs), Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C., or the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS), Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C., as appropriate.
- Reflects contemporary research as defined in Florida's Common Language of Instruction (found on www.floridaschoolleaders.org).
- Reflects research that is aligned with the purpose of the Student Success Act (Section 1012.34 (a), F.S.): "For the purpose of increasing student learning growth by improving the quality of instructional, administrative, and supervisory services in the public schools of the state."

The Sumter School District has submitted evidence that its school leader evaluation framework is based on contemporary research and is aligned with the Student Success Act and the FPLS. A crosswalk supports appropriate alignment.

Florida Department of Education Pre-Approved Framework: Leadership Evaluation

<u>A Multi-Dimensional Framework</u>: Based on research and meta-analyses by Dr. Douglas Reeves, Dr. John Hattie, Dr. Vivian Robinson, and other research findings that identify school leadership strategies or behaviors that, done correctly and in appropriate circumstances, have a positive probability of improving student learning and faculty proficiency on instructional strategies that positively impact student learning. (Note: The FMLA (2011 state model) and FSLA (2012 state model) are based on this framework.

State Multi-dimensional Leadership Framework References

Reeves, D. (2009). *Assessing Educational Leaders*: *Evaluating Performance for Improved Individual and Organizational Results*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement.* New York: Routledge.

Horng, E., Klasik, D., & Loeb, S. (2010). *Principal's time use and school effectiveness*. Stanford University.

Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2010). *The truth about leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Louis, K. S., Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. L., & Anderson, S. E. (2010). *Investigating the links to improved student learning*. The Wallace Foundation.

Robinson, V. M. J. (2011). *Student-centered leadership*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Dr. Douglas B. Reeves' Multi-dimensional Leadership Assessment System

Dr. Douglas Reeves is the founder of The Leadership and Learning Center. As part of Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, a global educational leader, The Center serves school systems around the world. The author of 30 books and many articles on leadership and organizational effectiveness, Dr. Reeves has twice been named to the Harvard University Distinguished Authors Series. Dr. Reeves was named the Brock International Laureate for his contributions to education. He also received the Distinguished Service Award from the National Association of Secondary School Principals and the Parent Choice Award for his writing for children and parents. He is the 2010 recipient of the National Staff Development Council's Contribution to the Field Award.

Assessing Educational Leaders: Evaluating Performance for Improved Individual and Organizational *Results* by Douglas B. Reeves provides the information and tools necessary to successfully evaluate all types of educational leaders and improve both individual and organizational performance.

Incorporating the 10 Dimensions of Leadership, Dr. Douglas Reeves' *Leadership Performance Matrix* is a field-tested evaluation framework based on research, theory, and best practices in leadership, organizational effectiveness, and personnel evaluation. The author focuses on three critical concepts of leadership: the dramatic impact of leadership on student achievement, equity, and staff morale; the role of both personal predispositions and acquired knowledge skills on effectiveness; and how evaluation can be used to improve performance. The evaluation instrument supports the following:

- Provides the tools for leadership assessment and coaching that are formative, constructive, and unambiguous.
- Provides consistent, clear, and fair definitions of leadership performance

- Documents judgments about leadership performance through supporting evidences
- Offers continuous and effective feedback for veteran, new, and aspiring leaders
- Supports collaborative processes and collegial conversations that are essential for sustainable Professional Learning Communities
- Promotes observations and evaluations as part of a holistic learning system that develops leadership effectiveness

By implementing this multidimensional leadership assessment system, the Sumter School District can improve teaching, learning, and leadership at every level!

Dr. Douglas Reeves Multi-Dimensional Leadership Assessment System References

Ainsworth, L. (200a). Power standards: *Identifying the standards that matter the most*. Englewood. CO: Advanced Learning Press.

Ainsworth, L. (200b). *Unwrapping the standards: A simple process to make standards manageable.* Englewood, CO: Advanced Learning Press.

Bossidy, L., & Charan, R. (2002), Execution: *The discipline of getting things done*. New York: Crown Business.

Boyatzis, R. E., & McKee, A. (2005). *Resonant leadership: Renewing yourself and connecting with others through mindfulness, hope, and compassion.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

Christensen, D. (2001, December), Building state assessment from the classroom up: Why Nebraska has forsworn high-stakes testing in favor of district-tailored measures. *School Administrator*, pp. 27-31.

Collins, J. (2001). *Good to great: Why some companies make the leap...and others don't.* New York: HarperBusiness

Cook, W. J. (2004). When the smoke clears. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 86(1), 73-75, 83.

Council of the Great City Schools. (2006). Urban school superintendents: Characteristics, tenure, salary. *Urban Indicator*, 8(1), 1-10.

Danielson, C. (2002). *Teaching evaluation*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Darling-Hammond, L. (1997). The *right to learn: A blueprint for creating schools that work.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2000). Teacher quality and student achievement: A review of state policy evidence. *Educational Policy Analysis Archives.* 8(1). 1-50.

Darling-Hammond, L., & Sykes, G. (Eds.). (1999). Teaching *as the learning profession: Handbook of policy and practice*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Davis, S., Darling-Hammond, L., LaPointe, M., & Meyerson, D. (2005). *School leadership study: Developing successful principals* (Review of Research). Stanford, CA: Stanford University, Stanford Educational Leadership Institute.

General Accounting Office. (2002, April). *Education needs to monitor states' scoring of assessments* (GAO-02-303). Washington, DC: Author.

Goldsmith, M., & Reiter, M. (2007). What got you here won't get you there: How successful people become even more successful. New York: Hyperion.

Goldman, D., Boyatziz, R., & McKee, A. (2002). *Primal leadership: Realizing the power of emotional intelligence*, Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

Goodlad, J. (1984). A place called school. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Hersey, P., Blanchard, K.H., & Johnson, D. E. (2000). *Management of organizational behavior: Utilizing human resources* (8th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Interstate School Leaders Licensure Consortium. (1996, November 2). *Standards for school leaders*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

Junger, S. (1998). *The perfect storm*. New York: Harper.

Marzano, R. J. (2003). *What works in schools: Translating research into action*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Marzano,R.J. (2007). *The art and science of teaching: A comprehensive framework for effective instruction.* Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Marzano, R. J., & Pickering, D. (2001). *Classroom instruction that works: Research-based strategies for increasing student achievement.* Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

North Carolina Center for School Leadership 'Development. (2001). Principals *and assistant principal's self-assessment*. Retrieved March 14, 2001, from <u>www.ga.unc.edu/pep/resources.html</u>.

Peck, M. M. (1978). *The road less traveled: Beyond spiritual growth in an age of anxiety.* Cutchogue, NY: Buccaneer Books.

Peters, T., & Austin, N. (1986). *A passion for excellence*. New York: Harper Business.

Pfeffer, J., & Sutton, R. I. (2000). *The knowing-doing gap: How smart companies turn knowledge into action.* Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

Popham, J. (1999). *Testing! Testing! What every parent should know about school tests*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.

Prichard Committee for Academic Excellence. (2005). High *achieving high schools* (Report). Retrieved April 16, 2008, from http://www.prichardcommittee.org/HS%20 Report.pdf.

Quality Counts 2003: "If I Can't Learn From You." (2003, January). Education Week.

Reeves, D. B. (2001). Holistic *accountability: Serving students, schools, and community.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.

Reeves, D. B. (2002a). *The daily disciplines of leadership*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Reeves, D. B. (2002b). *Making standards work: How to implement standards-based performance assessments in the classroom, school, and district* (3rd ed.). Denver: Advanced Learning Press.

Reeves, D. B. (2003). Accountability for learning: A constructive approach to educational accountability for teachers and school leaders. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Reeves, D. B. (2006). *The learning leader: How to focus school improvement for better results*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Reeves, D. B. (2007, October). Coaching myths and realities, *Educational Leadership*, 65(2), 89-90.

Reeves, D. B. (2007, December-2008, January). Making strategic planning work, *Educational Leadership*, 65(4), 86-87.

Rosenthal, R., & Jacobson, L. (2003). *Pygmalion in the classroom: Teacher expectation and pupil's intellectual development.* Carmarthen, UK: Crown House.

Schmoker, M.J. (2004). Tipping point: *From feckless reform to substantive instructional improvement*. Phi Delta Kappan, 85(6). 424-432.

Sorcher, M., & Brant, J. (2002, February). Are you picking the right leaders? *Harvard Business Review*, pp. 78-85.

Stiggins, R. J. (2000). *Student-involved classroom assessment* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Stiggins, R. J., Arter, J., Chappuis, J., & Chappuis, S. (2004). *Classroom assessment for student learning: Doing it right, using it well.* Portland, OR: Assessment Training Institute.

Tichy, N. M. (1997). *The leadership engine: How winning companies build leaders at every level.* New York: HarperCollins.

White, S. (2005a). Beyond *the numbers: Making data work for teachers & school leaders.* Englewood, CO: Advanced Learning Press.

White, S. (2005b). *Show me the proof! Tools and strategies to make data work for you*. Englewood, CO: Advanced Learning Press.

Wiggins, G. P. (1998). Educative assessment: *Designing assessments to inform and improve student performance.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Wiggins, G. P., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

High Effect Size Indicators

Student learning needs and faculty and leadership development needs will vary from school to school and from district to district. However, contemporary research reveals a core of instructional and leadership strategies that have a higher probability than most of positively impacting student learning in significant ways.

- Research on the cause and effect relationships between instructional and leadership strategies and student outcomes address the effect size of a strategy: What degree of impact does it have?
- In the content of district instructional and leadership evaluation systems, effect size is a statistical
 estimation of the influence a strategy or practice has on student learning. Effect size calculations
 result from statistical analyses in research focused on student learning where the correct and
 appropriate use of a strategy yields better student learning growth than when the strategy is not
 used or is used incorrectly or inappropriately.
- In research terms, those strategies often identified as "high effect size" are those with higher probabilities of improving student learning.

Classroom teachers need a repertoire of strategies with a positive effect size so that what they are able to do instructionally, after adapting to classroom conditions, has a reasonable chance of getting positive results. As school leaders and mentor teachers begin to focus on feedback to colleagues to improve proficiency on practices that improve student learning growth, emphasis should be on those strategies which have a high effect size. Where every Florida classroom teacher and school leader has a core repertoire of highly effective practices, progress on student learning is accelerated. The Florida Department of Education's identified set of indicators on high effect size instructional and leadership strategies with a causal relationship to student learning growth constitute priority issues for deliberate practice and faculty development.

Classroom Teacher High Effect Indicators

- <u>Learning Goal with Scales</u>: The teacher provides students with clearly stated learning goals accompanied by a scale or rubric that describes levels of performance relative to the learning goal.
- **<u>Tracking Student Progress</u>**: The teacher facilitates the tracking of student progress on learning goals using a formative approach to assessment.
- <u>Established content Standards</u>: The teacher ensures that lesson and unit plans are aligned with established state content standards identified by the district and the manner in which that content should be sequenced.
- <u>Multi-tiered System of Supports</u>: The teacher provides a learning environment with multiple tiers of support to meet individual needs and affect positive change.
- <u>Tracking Rate of Progress</u>: The teacher's implementation of a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) routinely collects, analyzes, and uses on-going progress monitoring data to evaluate student rate of progress aligned with behavioral and grade-level academic standards.
- <u>Clear Goals</u>: The teacher identifies a lesson or part of a lesson as involving important information to which students should pay particular attention.
- <u>Text Complexity</u>: The teacher engages students in reading strategies with "complex enough" text to cognitively challenge students and embeds close reading and rereading of complex text into instructional processes as a routine event.
- **ESOL Students**: The teacher provides instruction to ESOL students on the development of the English language learners' ability to produce and respond to spoken and written English texts, from pronunciation and formation of individual sounds and letters, through word and sentence level, to patterns of text structure utilizing the appropriate ESOL teaching strategies.

School Leadership High Effect Indicators

- <u>Feedback Practices</u>: The school leader monitors, evaluates proficiency, and provides timely feedback to faculty on the effectiveness of instruction on priority instructional goals, and the cause and effect relationships between professional practice and student achievement on those goals.
- **Facilitating Professional Learning**: The school leader manages the organization, operations, and facilities to provide the faculty with quality resources and time for professional learning, and engages faculty in effective individual and collaborative learning on priority professional goals throughout the school year.
- <u>Clear Goals and Expectations</u>: The school leader communicates goals and expectations clearly and concisely using Florida's common language of instruction and appropriate written and oral skills, communicates student expectations and performance information to students, parents, and community, and ensures faculty receives timely information about student learning

requirements, academic standards, and all other local, state, and federal administrative requirements and decisions.

- <u>Instructional Resources</u>: The school leader maximizes the impact of school personnel and fiscal and facility resources to provide recurring systemic support for instructional priorities and a supportive learning environment.
- <u>High Effect Size Strategies</u>: The school leader takes actions to ensure that instructional personnel receive recurring feedback on their proficiency in high effect size instructional strategies.
- <u>Instructional Initiatives</u>: District-supported state initiatives focused on student growth are supported by the school leader with specific and observable actions including monitoring of implementation and measurement of progress toward initiative goals and professional learning to improve faculty capacity to implement initiatives.

The following indicators relate to leadership focused on specific instructional improvement initiatives:

- <u>Monitoring Text Complexity</u>: The school leader monitors teacher implementation of reading strategies with cognitively challenging text and embedding of close reading and rereading of complex test into instructional processes as a routine event. (Reading)
- <u>Interventions</u>: The school leader routinely uses teacher-collected student response data to determine effectiveness of instruction and interventions school-wide, grade-wide, class-wide, and specific to student sub-groups. (MTSS)
- <u>Instructional Adaptations</u>: The school leader routinely engages teachers collaboratively in a structured data-based planning and problem-solving process in order to modify instruction and interventions for accelerated student progress and to monitor and evaluate the effect of those modifications. (MTSS)
- <u>ESOL Strategies</u>: The school leader monitors the school and classrooms for comprehensible instruction delivered to ESOL students and the utilization of ESOL teaching strategies appropriate to the students in the class. (ESOL)

Learning Focused Strategies Equivalent to Florida's Classroom High Effect Indicators

Learning Focused Strategies is the equivalent of Florida's Classroom High Effect Indicators. Since 2007, the Sumter School District has been immersed in training, supporting, and implementing Learning Focused Strategies consistently and pervasively in all schools, across all levels, and in every classroom. Using the strategies daily is engrained as a way of work for all teachers and administrators, and the culture in every school. The district trains all new teachers in four (4) days of Learning Focused Strategies, provides refreshers for those already trained, and adds new training selections as the district moves forward in this initiative. The strategies are incorporated in the teacher evaluation instrument based on the Charlotte Danielson rubric as observable elements in Domains 2 and 3 and items of conversation to substantiate Domain 1. An additional instrument used in the district as another measure of teacher performance is the classroom walkthrough document based solely on the demonstration of Learning Focused Strategies.

The Sumter School District provides the following research upon which Learning Focused Strategies is based and a summary of how training unfolded in the district for teachers and administrators to implement the strategies with fidelity.

Dr. Max Thompson's Background

Dr. Max Thompson has been a teacher for ten years (kindergarten, PK deaf and blind, Severely Emotionally Disturbed). He has also been an elementary, middle, and high school principal.

Since 1995, he has been part of a consortium connected with Harvard University and Stanford University that works with turnaround schools. He is one of 41 lead investigators who work with 300 evaluators. He personally has a 29 person data evaluation team funded by the United States Department of Education and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

The consortium evaluates schools 900-1300 schools a year in 12 different countries. One half of the schools are typical and one half are exemplary at 90% proficiency on state tests with 90% of their subgroups at proficiency. One half of the schools are typical with hard working administrators and teachers. In spite of this, student achievement goes down.

The consortium looks in depth at schools that work hard but can't move because there are similar schools across the county that have made significant strides in successful upward movement of student performance. The consortium pays these schools to allow the investigators to shadow students and determine strategies that work.

Because states who have taken over schools don't have the skills or knowledge to move students, they have contracted with the consortium to take charge of such schools. He has been contracted for 42 schools in the U.S. and Canada for takeover reforms. Dr. Thompson himself evaluates 9-10 schools a year in Italy and is currently the principal of record for 5 schools in Italy. He has placed his own, well-trained principals on site to implement a successful model for turnaround. Dr. Thompson visits the schools in Italy 2-3 days a month in the first year and 1 day a month in the second year. During the second year, a new principal is hired to serve the school and transition to independence from the consortium in the third year. This process may take an extra year for secondary schools. He invests \$350,000-\$900,000 of his own money up front in each school and does not reap any payment until after the third year when the school has proven it can sustain itself on its own at an achievement level of 85% or better. He has been in thousands of classrooms and turned around over 60 failing schools with a 100% success rate. These findings are not research-based, but instead exemplary practice based.

Of five companies in the nation, Dr. Thompson's, Learning Focused Solutions is ranked number 3 in the United States, and number 1 in Europe and the Caribbean for his research-based and evidence-based turnaround model. The ranking is determined by how many schools reach 85-90% proficiency in 2 years for elementary and 3 years for middle schools.

Learning Focused Schools Model Research

Learning-Focused uses hundreds of sources each year to keep the model state of the art. References for each solution can be found in the notebook.

Initial Model References:

Anderson, J.C., & Freebody, P. (1981). "Vocabulary Knowledge". In J.T. Guthrie (Ed.) Comprehension and Teaching (pp77-117). Newark, DE: IRA.

Cawelti, G. (1999). Improving achievement. The American School Board Journal, July, 34-37.

Closing the Achievement Gaps in Urban Schools: A Survey of Academic Progress and Promising Practices in the Great City Schools. (1999). Available at http://www.cgcs.org.

The College Board. (1999). Reaching the Top: A Report of the National Task Force on Minority Achievement. Available at http://www.collegeboard.org.

Dispelling the Myth: High Poverty Schools Exceeding Expectations. (1999). Washington, DC: Education Trust and the Council of Chief State School Officers. Available at http://edtrust.org/pubs-online.html.

Education Week Series on Minority Achievement. (2000). Available at http://www.edweek.com.

- * Unmet Promise: Raising Minority Achievement (March 15, 2000).
- * Lags in Minority Achievement Defy Traditional Expectations (March 22, 2000).
- * Minority Gaps Smaller in Some Pentagon Schools (March 29, 2000).
- * Lifting Minority Achievement: Complex Answers (April5, 2000).

Elmore, R., & McLaughlin, M. (1998). Steady work: Policy, practice, and the reform of American education (Paper presented to the National Institute of Education.) Santa Monica, CA.: Rand.

Elmore, R. & Rothman, R. (1999). Testing, teaching, and learning: A guide for states and districts. Washington, DC: National Research Council of National Academy Press.

Fullan, M. (1993). Change forces: Probing the depths of educational reform. London: Falmer Press.

Fullan, M. (1999). Change forces: The sequel. London: Falmer Press.

Fullan, M. (1992). Getting reform right: What works and what doesn't. Phi Delta Kappan, 73(10), 744-752.

Herman, R. & Stringfield, S. (1997). Ten promising programs for educating all children: Evidence of impact. Arlington, VA: Education Research Service. Available at http://www.ers.org.

Institute for the Education of At-Risk Youth (1999). Practice in exemplary schools: What did they do and how did they get there? Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.

Jencks, C. & Phillips, M. (1998). The black-white test score gap. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.

Johnson, J. & Asera, R. (1999). Hope for urban education: A study of nine high-performing, high poverty, urban elementary schools. Available at www.ed.gov/pubs/edpubs.html.

Marzano, R.J., & Kendall, J. (1999). "The Critical Role of Vocabulary: The Extensive Curriculum". In Marzano, R.J. & Kendall, J. Essential Knowledge (pp. 141-170). Aurora, CO: McREL.

Marzano, R., Zeno, B., & Pollack, J. (1999). Research into practice: Assessment, grading, and record keeping in the classroom. Aurora, CO: McREL.

McKeown, M.G. & Curtis, M.E. (1987). The Nature of Vocabulary Instruction. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum & Associates.

National Commission on Time and Learning, (1994). Prisoners of time. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.

Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory, (1997). Closing the Achievement Gap Requires MultipleSolutions.Availableathttp://www.nwrel.org/cnorse/infoline/may97/article5.html.http://www.testdoctor.com.Reeves, D.B. (2000).

Accountability in Action: A Blueprint for Leaning Organizations, Denver, CO: Academic Learning Centers Inc. (1-800-844-6599).

Reyes, P., Scribner, J.D., & Scribner, A.P. (1999). Lessons from high performing Hispanic schools. New York: Teachers College Press.

Schmoker, M. (2000). Results: The key to school improvement, 2nd edition. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.

Stahl, S.A. & Fairbanks, M.M. (1986). "The Effects of Vocabulary Instruction: A Model-Based Meta-Analysis". Review of Educational Research, 56(1), 72-110.

Urgency, Responsibility, Efficacy: Preliminary Findings of A Study of High-Performing Texas School Districts. (1999). Charles Dana Center, University of Texas at Austin. Available at http://www.starcenter.org/promise/main.htm#research.

Wolk, R. (1998). Strategies for fixing failing schools. The Pew Forum on Educational Reform. New York: The Pew Charitable Trusts.

Learning-Focused Research

For over twenty years, the Learning-Focused Model has continued to improve with the latest educational, brain, learning style, and instructional practices research. Additionally, the research of Robert Marzano, MCREL,

Douglas Reeves, the Pew Educational Forum projects, and the US DOE Evaluation Consortium has provided the basis for the model updates over the past ten years.

Robert Marzano and the USDOE have provided us with an extensive research base for instructional practices:

Strategies That Most Impact Achievement					
Rank	ank Strategy Effect Size Percentile G				
1	Extending Thinking Strategies	1.61	45		
2	Summarizing	1.00	34		
3	Vocabulary In Context	.85	33		
4	Advance Organizers	.73	28		
5	Non-Verbal Representations	.65	25		

Douglas Reeves and The Leadership and Learning Center (<u>http://www.leadandlearn.com/90-90-90</u>) conducted extensive research on practices that 90/90/90 (exemplary) schools implement on a consistent and pervasive basis that typical schools do not.

Studies presented in Educational Leadership (ASCD) in the 1990s started the Learning-Focused commitment to making connections between all of the strategies and practices stated above in order to achieve maximum effectiveness on student achievement in a planning framework.

Instructional strategies research provided educators with a list of the instructional strategies most effective in student learning and achievement. Layered above all of these strategies is how and when teachers apply them in lessons, along with how the strategies are sequenced and connected. The focus of teacher planning should not be simply to choose which strategy to use in a particular lesson or unit, but to connect and sequence strategies across lessons and units to generate achievement gains well above teachers who randomly choose strategies. These research-based strategies shape the base of the Learning-Focused lesson and unit planning model. Strategies 2-3-4-5 from the above chart are in every Learning-Focused acquisition lesson, and the first strategy, extending thinking skills, occurs 2-5 times in every Learning-Focused unit.

Is the Learning-Focused Model evidenced-based?

There have been several independent analyses conducted to provide evidence of the effectiveness of the Learning-Focused Model. These meet the criteria set by the US DOE for "evidence-based blind studies". A few of the analyses have looked at individual schools, while others have examined data across many schools, and another one examined the data by individual teachers.

Study 1: The largest study concerned 57 schools across 4 school districts in 3 different states. All of the teachers were trained in the 2003 – 2004 school year on the following Learning-Focused strategies:

- Prioritize and map the curriculum
- Learning-Focused lessons and units
- Acceleration and Scaffolding with students with disabilities and at-risk learners
- Reading comprehension strategies and reading assignments
- Learning-Focused Math

The range of the schools' student populations:

- 63% 89% Eligible for Free/Reduced Meals
- 47% 63% African-American
- 20% 50% Caucasian
- 2% 46% Hispanic

Study 2: Three regional area educational service agencies analyzed the Learning-Focused Model by looking at student test data by individual teachers across a total of 43 schools. Because of the number of teachers, LFS professional development took place in cohorts across three years. Data was examined in the first full year of teaching after the training for each cohort. Data was reported by percentage gain on previous year's tests for each teacher.

Percent Gains On State Tests

Grades 3-5: 393 Teachers					
Cohort 1 Cohort 2 Cohort 3					
Reading	27%	24%	30%		
Math	23%	20%	27%		
Social Studies	19%	24%	24%		
Science	25%	21%	26%		

Grades 6-8: 268 Teachers					
Cohort 1 Cohort 2 Cohort 3					
Reading	21%	22%	24%		
Math	17%	18%	23%		
Social Studies	22%	23%	22%		
Science	20%	20%	25%		

Grades 9-12: 234 Teachers					
Cohort 1 Cohort 2 Cohort 3					
Reading	20%	18%	22%		
Math	14%	16%	16%		
Social Studies	20%	23%	24%		
Science	21%	21%	22%		

Study 3: An Individual School

Demographics:

- 1479 students on February 1, 2007
- 39 new teachers in 2006 2007 school year
- Students: African-American: 20%; Asian: 8%; Caucasian: 3%; Hispanic: 65%
- 92% eligible for Free/Reduced Meals
- 75% of students do not have English as native language
- 54% served in ESOL program
- 601 of the 2006-2007 students were at this school in 2005-2006
- Only 15% of 2006-2007 5th graders attended this school in 1st grade
- 50%+ mobility rate

Monitoring/Evaluation (EVERY Classroom)

- Learning-Focused unit with Student Learning Maps guiding and structuring learning and Learning-Focused lesson plan
- Only grade-level content in all classrooms
- Monthly focus on reading comprehension strategies
- Graphic Organizers USED for writing, reading comprehension
- Extended reading passages for all students
- Collaborative planning time using the Learning-Focused planning model
- Student Writing samples posted and extended writing response on answering essential questions

Percent Passing State Tests				
	Reading (2005 - 2006)	Reading (2006 - 2007)	% Gain	
All	85%	91%	6%	
Black	88%	92%	4%	
Hispanic	81%	93%	12%	
ESL	77%	83%	6%	
SWD	67%	81%	14%	
Economically Disadvantaged	84%	91%	7%	

Percent Passing State Tests					
Math (2005 - 2006) Math (2006 - 2007)					
All	91%	96%	5%		
Black	90%	95%	5%		
Hispanic	91%	96%	5%		
ESL	88%	96%	8%		
SWD	84%	94.7%	10.7%		
Economically Disadvantaged	93%	96%	3%		

Please see Appendix: B

Final Conference/Summative

Based on this determination and prior to September 15th, a final conference is held between the Superintendent of Schools and individual school principal to present the *Annual Performance Level* rating. In the case of the assistant principal or assistant principal intern, the site administrator conducts the summative conference. The purposes of the final conference are noted:

- Review all evidence submitted.
- Discuss the individual's performance rating on the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* reflecting the Florida Principal Leadership Standards and arrival at one of four possible performance levels to determine the *Leadership Practice Score* and associated proficiency designation.
- Consider accomplishment status of each of three (3) predetermined goals listed as *Deliberate Practice* and determine the combined final proficiency level.
- Determine impact of the *Student Growth Measure* and proficiency level.
- *Consider parent and teacher Climate Survey responses.

- *Review the administrator's evaluation of instructional personnel and all pertinent data to determine improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective level.
- *Review school recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers.
- Combine all for an Annual Performance Level designation.
- Discuss areas of commendation, where improvement can be accomplished, professional development needed, and how assistance can be provided to help achieve a higher level of performance while also discussing employment consequences based on a less than desirable performance level and procedures for moving forward.
- File final, signed *Annual Performance Level Calculation* form in the Personnel Department to become part of the individual's personnel record (copy provided to the school leader). The Personnel Department will comply with 1012.34 reporting requirements to the Florida Department of Education.

*Measures of practice or job responsibilities shall be incorporated into the leadership practice portion of the performance level rating.

.....

Step 1: Orientation to the Evaluation System

All persons subject to school leadership evaluation require an orientation to the appraisal system. The orientation is coordinated by the professional development department for school principals and by school principals for their respective assistant principals and/or assistant principal interns. The orientation includes the following:

- Review of performance appraisal documents, content, procedures, expectations, evidence collection, scoring, and self-check of alignment.
- Use of designated forms for performance evaluation, data collection, and documentation.
- Florida Department of Education tools and support.
- Florida Principal Leadership Standards.
- Student Success Act (Senate Bill 736).
- Mentoring and professional development opportunities.
- Florida's Common Language of Instruction and High Effect Size Indicators
- Race to the Top requirements.

Step 2: Pre-planning for Evaluation

In preparation for initial contact with school leaders concerning *Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure*, the superintendent solicits input from district administrators and relies on respective contributions of evaluators responsible for elementary and secondary levels in drafting individual or collective, annual goals. Three (3) goals are determined for each school leader: a school improvement goal, a professional

goal, and a personal goal. The goal setting is based on prior evaluation needs, current school/district needs, student performance historical data, new legislative requirements, and the Florida Principal Leadership Standards. After preparing the goals, district administrators responsible for the evaluations schedule individual, initial conferences with school administrators to deliver and discuss expectations and associated dynamics of Deliberate Practice initiatives. As the superintendent prepares the *Deliberate Practice Multiple Measure* form for each principal, the principal does likewise for school level assistant principals and/or assistant principal interns.

Step 3: Initial Conference

Prior to the end of September the initial conference is scheduled by the superintendent or designee with the principal. In turn, the principal conducts the initial conference with the assistant principal and/or assistant principal intern during that same month. The purpose of the initial conference is to review and establish clear expectations and set goals for the year that focus on student achievement, individual strengths, system priorities, and unique situational circumstances. The school leader is responsible throughout the year for collecting evidence to document established goals. The initial conference may include the following intents:

- Discuss domains, standards and indictors related to student achievement, staff professional development needs, school improvement, system issues, school site developments, personal growth needs, or other pertinent topics.
- Establish Deliberate Practice goals that interface with school and district goals.
- Discuss student growth data and measures of performance.
- Consider means that support continuous school improvement.
- Review collection of evidence to document demonstration of the leadership domains, standards and indicators such as on-site interviews, observations, work site analysis, management information system reports, annual reports, school improvement initiatives, student performance, customer surveys, and teacher/parental input as appropriate.
- Discuss school leadership expectations concerning the effective use of the Sumter County Schools' Framework for Teaching evaluation instrument.
- Promote professional development and opportunities to improve skills and knowledge.
- Determine how input will be secured from parents, teachers, and customers (climate surveys).
- Determine how to maintain a positive and collaborative relationship with stakeholders to increase student achievement.
- Answer questions.
- Provide mentoring.

Step 4: Mid-year Conference and Monitoring/Formative

The mid-year conference is a collaborative, monitoring meeting between the administrator being evaluated and the evaluator responsible for conducting the evaluation: assistant principal or assistant

principal intern evaluated by the principal; principal evaluated by an appropriate district program elementary or secondary director. Formative in nature, it is conducted in December or January to discuss and record progress on accomplishing annual goals cited on the *Deliberate Practice Multiple Measure* and produce evidence for ratings on meeting proficiency levels detailed in the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix*. Evidence at this time could come from frequent site visits by the evaluator and other district administrators, supervisor observations, artifacts, data, a portfolio, interviews, surveys and other accumulated information analyzed in the context of the evaluation indicators. The responsibility for producing appropriate evidence rests with the individual being evaluated while the evaluator is responsible for detailed documentation of same for use by the superintendent in the final conference.

The mid-year conference is an opportunity for praise, encouragement, and recommendations. It also provides an opportunity for coaching, mentoring, and timely feedback. From the conference, recommendations for professional development may evolve or further association with like communities of practice. All domains, standards and indicators are part of the discussion and documentation.

A deadline prior to the final conference is afforded for follow up submission of evidence in areas where little or no evidence is presented in the mid-year conference. These are areas that would otherwise result in a "Needs Improvement/Developing" or "Unsatisfactory" rating but might also be intended as evidence to bolster a rating upward. Any actions or inactions that might result in an "Unsatisfactory" rating on a domain, standard or indicator if not improved are communicated. Accompanied by appropriate forms (*Sumter Leadership Annual Performance Level Calculation, Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form, Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form Summary,* and *Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure*), the evaluator also submits a documented list or narrative of evidence to the superintendent. Although this process is led by the district evaluator for school principals, the mid-year conference is to be conducted by the principal for assistant principals and assistant principal interns assigned to the school site.

Step 5: Preparation for a Consolidated Performance Assessment

The superintendent will use the following forms and written information in preparation for the consolidated performance assessment:

- Sumter Leadership Annual Performance Level Calculation
- Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form
- Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form Summary
- Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure
- Documented listing or narrative submitted by the evaluator
- Student Growth Measures on state assessment provided by the state
- Parental/teacher input through annual Climate Survey results
- School recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers per SB 736

- Improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective levels SB 736
- Other leadership practices that result in improved student outcomes

The superintendent relies on this information and a personal meeting with the evaluator to discuss, and tentatively calculate an individual's overall appraisal and *Annual Performance Level*...the final evaluation rating for each school principal. At this time, the superintendent also considers parental and teacher input as appropriate evidence into the school leader's evaluation. The superintendent uses the Annual Performance Level Calculation electronic form to accumulate evidence, consolidate ratings, and summarize the outcome for principals. The site administrator does likewise for assistant principals and assistant principal interns.

Step 6: Final Conference/Summative

Based on this determination and prior to September 15th, a final conference is held between the Superintendent of Schools and individual school principal to present the *Annual Performance Level* rating. In the case of the assistant principal or assistant principal intern, the site administrator conducts the summative conference. The purposes of the final conference are noted:

- Review all evidence submitted.
- Discuss the individual's performance rating on the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* reflecting the Florida Principal Leadership Standards and arrival at one of four possible performance levels to determine the *Leadership Practice Score* and associated proficiency designation.
- Consider accomplishment status of each of three (3) predetermined goals listed as *Deliberate Practice* and determine the combined final proficiency level.
- Determine impact of the *Student Growth Measure* and proficiency level.
- *Consider parent and teacher Climate Survey responses.
- *Review the administrator's evaluation of instructional personnel and all pertinent data to determine improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective level.
- *Review school recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers.
- Combine all for an Annual Performance Level designation.
- Discuss areas of commendation, where improvement can be accomplished, professional development needed, and how assistance can be provided to help achieve a higher level of performance while also discussing employment consequences based on a less than desirable performance level and procedures for moving forward.
- File final, signed *Annual Performance Level Calculation* form in the Personnel Department to become part of the individual's personnel record (copy provided to the school leader). The Personnel Department will comply with 1012.34 reporting requirements to the Florida Department of Education.

*Measures of practice or job responsibilities shall be incorporated into the leadership practice portion of the performance level rating.

Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form

Domain 1 Student Achievement

• Standard 1: Student Learning Results

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory	HE	E	NI/D	U
Indicators				
1.1.a				
The school's learning goals are based on the state's adopted student academic standards and the				
district's adopted curricula				
1.1.b				
Student learning results are evidenced by the student performance and growth on statewide				
assessments; district-determined assessments that are implemented by the district under Section				
1008.22, F.S.; international assessments; and other indicators of student success adopted by the				
district and state.				
Overall Rating for Standard 1				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Faculty meeting, department, grade-level agendas, professional development topics
SIP goals and strategies that reflect a clear relationship between the professional actions of teachers and leaders and student achievement
Annual state assessment and EOC results indicate the level of student achievement.
School-wide progress monitoring of adult and student performance – documented, charted, and posted in high traffic areas of
the school
Results of teacher-made, common, formative assessments to demonstrate proficiency by specific standards
Proficient student work posted throughout the building
Implementation of required standards through professional learning agendas, curriculum maps, lesson plans, etc.
District curriculum and instructional initiatives are implemented in most classrooms.
Student data reported electronically through Data Star, Performance Matters, SuccessMaker and other similar programs
Each academic standard has been translated into student-accessible language as evidenced by the use of LEQs, UEQs and student learning maps in classrooms.
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 1 Student Achievement

• Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
1.2.a				
Enables faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning				
1.2.b				
Maintains a school climate that supports student engagement in learning				
1.2.c				
Generates high expectations for learning growth by all students				
1.2.d				
Engages faculty and staff in efforts to close learning performance gaps among student subgroups				
within the school.				
Overall Rating for Standard 2				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Faculty meeting, department, grade-level agendas, professional development topics
SIP goals and strategies that reflect a clear relationship between the professional actions of teachers and leaders and student achievement
Annual state assessment and EOC results
School-wide progress monitoring of adult and student performance – documented, charted, and posted in high traffic areas of the school
Results of teacher-made, common, formative assessments to demonstrate proficiency by specific standards
PLC calendars and agendas indicate a clear system
Requires faculty to consistently and effectively implement school and district initiatives from class to class as evidenced by walkthrough data
Climate surveys
Technology use for lesson delivery and student use of instructional technology.
Expenditures, scheduling, professional development and goals indicate priorities and expectations
School based student subgroup data (Performance Matters, SuccessMaker and other similar programs)
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 2 Instructional Leadership

• Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
2.3.a				
Implements the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices as described in Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C., through a common language of instruction				
2.3.b				
Engages in data analysis for instructional planning and improvement				
2.3.c				
Communicates the relationships among academic standards, effective instruction, and student				
performance				
2.3.d				
Implements the district's adopted curricula and state's adopted academic standards in a manner that				
is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school				
2.3.e				
Ensures the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned with the				
adopted standards and curricula				
Overall Rating for Standard 3				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Documents, agendas, memorandum, instructional plans, etc.
Leader can specifically document examples of decisions in teaching assignment, curriculum, assessment, and intervention that
have been made on the basis of data analysis.
Professional Improvement Plans
Communications reflecting use of FEAPs and common language references
School Improvement Plan goals and actions linked to targeted academic standards
Curriculum maps, student learning maps, LFS strategies, AVID and other research based strategies
Illustrations of what "rigor" and "culturally relevant" mean in leader's presentations to faculty on proficiency expectations
Walkthrough documents indicating frequent review of district curriculum and instructional initiatives and research-based
instructional practices regarding alignment, rigor, and cultural relevance
School financial documents reflecting expenditures that support standards-based instruction, rigor, and/or cultural relevance
Agendas, meeting minutes, and memoranda reflecting a focus on importance of learning goals to engage students in what
they are to understand and be able to do
Teacher observation and feedback practices routinely addressing learning goals and tracking student progress
Progress monitoring documents and reports (formative, interim and other common assessments)
Professional Learning Communities procedures, agendas and schedules
Multiple projects and timelines managed by the leader by strategically delegating time, resources, and responsibilities
Climate and other survey results
Proficient student work posted throughout the building
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 2 Instructional Leadership

• Standard 4: Faculty Development

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
2.4.a				
Generates a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked to the				
system-wide strategic objectives and the school improvement plan				
2.4.b				
Evaluates, monitors, and provides timely feedback to faculty on the effectiveness of instruction				
2.4.c				
Employs a faculty with the instructional proficiencies needed for the school population served				
2.4.d				
Identifies faculty instructional proficiency needs, including standards-based content research-based				
pedagogy, data analysis for instructional planning and improvement, and the use of instructional				
technology				
2.4.e				
Implements professional learning that enables faculty to deliver culturally relevant and differentiated				
instruction				
2.4.f				
Provides resources and time and engages faculty in effective individual and collaborative professional				
learning throughout the school year				
Overall Rating for Standard 4				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Mentor records and beginning teacher feedback
Record of professional development provided to staff and impact of professional development on student learning
PD Needs Assessment results
Lesson plans
PD budget
Master schedule
Documentation that professional development is determined on the basis of student achievement and teacher competency
 data in PIPs and School Improvement Plans
Personally conducting professional development for colleagues and faculty several times each year
Providing professional development by modeling, guiding, and facilitating independent practice with a specific strategy
Classroom walkthrough feedback provided to teachers
Professional learning community documentation (agendas, schedules, etc.)
Leader developed needs assessment
Documentation of recruitment and retention of highly qualified and in-field teachers based upon the school population
 served.
Copies of written communications (conferencing notes and other evidences)
District evaluation procedures are followed and timelines are met.
School-based, focused professional development and learning communities
Schedules of recurring time allocated for professional learning
Evidence of implementing the Florida Protocol Standards for Professional Development and FEAPS
Written formal and informal feedback to improve performance
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 2 Instructional Leadership

• Standard 5: Learning Environment

2.5.a
Maintains a safe, respectful and inclusive student-centered learning environment that is focused on
equitable opportunities for learning and building a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic
society and global economy
2.5.b
Recognizes and uses diversity as an asset in the development and implementation of
procedures and practices that motivate all students and improve student learning
2.5.c
Promotes school and classroom practices that validate and value similarities and differences among
students
2.5.d
Provides recurring monitoring and feedback on the quality of the learning environment
2.5.e
Initiates and supports continuous improvement processes focused on the students'
opportunities for success and well-being
2.5.f
Engages faculty in recognizing and understanding cultural and developmental issues related to
student learning by identifying and addressing strategies to minimize and/or eliminate achievement
gaps
Overall Rating for Standard 5

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Documents that establish safe, respectful, and inclusive school-wide common expectations for students and staff
Agendas, meeting minutes, etc. to show recurring attention to student needs
School policies, practices, procedures designed to address student needs (diversity, culture, differentiation)
Climate and other survey results
Implementation of MTSS and PBS through agendas, schedules, memorandums, and other documents demonstrating supports
employed to meet individual student needs
Supplemental supports available in classrooms
Work in deepening faculty understanding of cultural and developmental issues related to improvement of academic learning
growth by sub-groups of students
Actions in aligning parent and community resources
Walkthrough data and observation schedule
Formative feedback and other documentation of coaching
School and teacher data reflecting tracking of student sub-group progress on targeted learning goals related to academic
achievement
School and teacher data indicating trend lines to reduce or eliminate achievement gaps
Safety meeting agendas and schedules
Custodial inspection checklists
Use of a variety of curricula, instruction, and assessments to address diversity, adaptations, and variations in student learning
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 3 Organizational Leadership

• Standard 6: Decision Making

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
3.6.a Gives priority attention to decisions that impact the quality of student learning and teacher				
proficiency				
3.6.b				
Uses critical thinking and problem solving techniques to define problems and identify solutions				
3.6.c				
Evaluates decisions for effectiveness, equity, intended and actual outcome; implements follow-up				
actions; and revises as needed				
3.6.d				
Empowers others and distributes leadership when appropriate				
3.6.e				
Uses effective technology integration to enhance decision making and efficiency throughout the				
school				
Overall Rating for Standard 6				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Documented use of school improvement team decision-making
Existence and work of data teams and professional learning communities
Formative and summative documents from the district teacher evaluation system
School-wide student, staff, community survey results
Student achievement data from the district's various data systems
School and district calendars maintained by the leader
Professional development rosters, agendas and follow-up activities
Evidence of data-based shared decision-making and distributed leadership
Examples and evidence of previous decisions that have been re-evaluated in light of emerging data or trends
Proficient use of email, voicemail, word processing, databases, spreadsheets, software, and district information systems
Examples of decisions aligned with strategic priorities, vision and mission
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 3 Organizational Leadership

• Standard 7: Leadership Development

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
3.7.a				
Identifies and cultivates potential and emerging leaders				
3.7.b				
Provides evidence of delegation and trust in subordinate leaders				
3.7.c				
Plans for succession management in key positions				
3.7.d				
Promotes teacher-leadership functions focused on instructional proficiency and student learning				
3.7.e				
Develops sustainable and supportive relationships between school leaders, parents, community, higher education and business leaders				
Overall Rating for Standard 7				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
System for recruiting, encouraging, and mentoring potential leaders
Citation of examples in which the leader coaches emerging leader(s) to assume greater and greater levels of responsibility
within the organization
Portfolio records of coaching and mentoring teachers
Climate survey results
Documentation of teacher leader participation in districtwide leadership activities (district PLCs, district walkthroughs, etc.)
Leadership Development Portfolio documentation
Documentation of delegation of responsibility and authority to make decisions and take action within defined parameters
Documentation describing the leader's contribution to a sustainable and supportive relationship with potential and emerging
leaders
Documentation regarding relationships with parents, community members, higher education, and business leaders the leader
has established in support of potential and emerging leaders within the school
Accolades from those whom the leader has mentored
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 3 Organizational Leadership

• Standard 8: School Management

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
3.8.a				
Organizes time, tasks and projects effectively with clear objectives and coherent plans				
3.8.b				
Establishes appropriate deadlines for him/herself and the entire organization				
3.8.c				
Manages schedules, delegates, and allocates resources to promote collegial efforts in school				
improvement and faculty development				
3.8.d				
Is fiscally responsible and maximizes the impact of fiscal resources on instructional priorities				
Overall Rating for Standard 8				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Projects that have been adjusted based on input from a variety of sources
Evidence of timely project completion
Multiple projects and timelines managed by the leader by strategically delegating time, resources, and responsibilities
School-wide student, staff, and community survey results
School Improvement Plan
School financial and budgeting information
System planning tools or documents for various projects
Delegation of leadership responsibilities reflect the priorities of the organization
Evidence of clear protocol for accessing school resources to enhance instruction
Project management data
Evidence of project priorities
Staff receipt books, fund raising records, and activity agreements that adhere to district policies
Schedules that promote planning time, staff development activities, and collaboration
Lesson study groups, professional learning communities, and other forms of collegial learning teams
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Domain 3 Organizational Leadership

• Standard 9: Communication

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
3.9.a				
Actively listens to and learns from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders				
3.9.b				
Recognizes individuals for effective performance				
3.9.c				
Communicates student expectations and performance information to students, parents, and				
community				
3.9.d				
Maintains high visibility at school and in the community and regularly engages stakeholders in the			_	_
work of the school				
3.9.e				
Creates opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and community				
stakeholders in constructive conversations about important school issues				
3.9.f				
Utilizes appropriate technologies for communication and collaboration				
3.9.g				
Ensures faculty receives timely information about student learning requirements, academic				
standards, and all other local state and federal administrative requirements and decisions				
Overall Rating for Standard 9				
Examples of Evidence to Support Rating				
Formal and informal systems of communication both written and eval (neurolatter, electronic, are	ndac a	mailsor		lanca

_					
	Formal and informal systems of communication both written and oral (newsletter, electronic, agendas, email correspondence,				
	appointments schedule, etc.)				
	Personal leadership strategies used to develop relationships with students				
	School safety and behavior expectations				
	Established expectations regarding teacher communication and relationship development with students				
	Climate survey results				
	Repertoire of parent involvement activities (school improvement, advisory council, parent nights, parent/teacher organization,				
	etc.)				
	Business partners and projects involving business partners				
	Evidence of visibility and accessibility				
	Communications to stakeholders as well as inter and intra school communications regarding goals and expectations and how				
	to accomplish them				
	Decisions based on input from stakeholders including School Improvement Plan				
	Student data chats				
	Technological tools for personal scheduling/task management (calendared meetings and agendas)				
	Conferencing schedules				
	Recognition and awards of faculty and staff				
	Faculty meeting agendas				
	Current, user friendly web site for the school				
	Personal application of Florida's common language of instruction and use of associated online resources				
	Monitoring of alignment of standards, curriculum, and instruction				
	Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator				
	Comments:				

Sumter County Administrator Evaluation System Template
Sumter Principal, Assistant Principal, and Assistant Principal Intern

Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form

Domain 4 Professional and Ethical Behavior

• Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors

HE: Highly Effective E: Effective NI/D: Needs Improvement/Developing U: Unsatisfactory Indicators	HE	E	NI/D	U
4.10.a				
Adheres to the Code of Ethics and the Principles of Professional Conduct for the Education Profession				
in Florida, pursuant to Rules 6B-1.001 and 6B-1.006, F.A.C.				
4.10.b				
Demonstrates resiliency by staying focused on the school vision and reacting constructively to the				
barriers to success that include disagreement and dissent with leadership				
4.10.c				
Demonstrates a commitment to the success of all students, identifying barriers and their impact on				
the well-being of the school, families, and local community				
4.10.d				
Engages in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of				
the school system				
4.10.e				
Demonstrates willingness to admit error and learn from it				
4.10.f				
Demonstrates explicit improvement in specific performance areas based on previous evaluations and				
formative feedback				
Overall Rating for Standard 10				

Examples of Evidence to Support Rating
Results of climate and other surveys
Examples of feedback used to enhance performance
Examples of mistakes acknowledged and learning from errors
Improvement plans reflecting changes in leadership practices
Evidence of growth resulting from previous evaluations
Evidence of compliance with legal requirements of job
Membership in professional organizations
Resolution of confrontational situations in a calm, thoughtful, dignified, problem-solving manner
Confronting ideological conflict and reaching consensus
Dissemination of clear norms and ground rules
Leader's involvement in professional development topics that directly link to the needs of the school, district, or organization
Application of lessons learned from research shared with subordinates or colleagues
School Improvement Plan meeting minutes
Impact of professional readings/reflections on leadership
Learning tools created and applied resulting from professional development
Acknowledgement of failures and suggestions for change
Acquires resources needed to overcome barriers which are beyond the control of the school, family, and community.
Personal PD record and classroom walkthrough data as evidence of personal attendance in and implementation of district PD
initiatives
Learning from dissenting views
Improvement needs noted in the leader's previous evaluations are explicitly reflected in projects, tasks, and priorities.
Acceptance and implementation of leadership and policy with fidelity - representing district/state initiatives with student data,
research base, and performance goals relevant to initiatives
Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator

Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form Summary

Sumter Principal, Assistant Principal, and Assistant Principal Intern Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form SUMMARY

School Leader:	Evaluator:			
Evidence Collected During Timeframe:		Today's Date:		
This form summarizes feedback to date a Florida Principal Leadership Standard applicable indicators as documented in	s, SBE Rule 6A-5.080. Each overall dom	nain rating is based on evi	dence used to score its	
KEY: HE = Highly Effective B	E = Effective NI = Needs Improve	ment/Developing U =	Unsatisfactory	
Domain 1 Student Achievement Highly Effective Effective Needs Impro Student Achievement: Leaders in education n instructional improvement, development and evaluate, monitor, and provide feedback to st Standard 1 Student Learning Results	nake student learning their top priority. Thi implementation of state student academic taff on instructional delivery.	ey direct energy and resourc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Effective school leaders achieve results on the Standard 2 Student Learning as a Priority	school's student learning goals.			
Effective school leaders demonstrate that stud		adership actions that build a	nd support a	
learning organization focused on student succ	JESS.			
Domain 2 Instructional Leadership Highly Effective Effective Needs Impro	wement /Developing Upsatisfactory	40%		
Instructional Leadership: The success of the si			ly focused faculty	
development, and a student oriented learning			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Standard 3 Instructional Plan Implementation	n			
Effective school leaders work collaboratively t	to develop and implement an instructional f	framework that aligns curricu	ulum with	
state standards, effective instructional practices, student learning needs, and assessments.				
Standard 4 Faculty Development				
Effective School Leaders recruit, retain, and de	evelop an effective and diverse faculity and	staft.		
Standard 5: Learning Environment Effective school leaders structure and monitor	r a school learning environment that impro-	ves learning for all of Florida	's diverse	
student population.				
Domain 3 Organizational Leadership				
Highly Effective Effective Needs Impro	vement/Developing Unsatisfactory (20%)		
Instructional Leadership: The success of the s	chool leader in providing a quality instruction	onal framework, appropriate	ly focused faculty	
development, and a student oriented learning				
Standard 6: Decision Making				
Effective school leaders employ and monitor a	a decision-making process that is based on y	vision, mission, and improve	ment priorities	
using facts and data.				
Standard 7 Leadership Development	next and develop other loaders within the			
Effective school leaders actively cultivate, sup Standard 8 School Management	port, and develop other leaders within the	organization.		
Effective school leaders manage the organizat	tion, operations, and facilities in ways that r	maximize the use of resource	esto promote	
a safe, efficient, legal, and effective learning e	n vironment.			
Standard 9 Communication				
Effective school leaders practice two-way com collaboration skills to accomplish school and s				
and community.	,	,-		
Domain 4 Professional and Ethical Behav	vior			
Highly Effective Effective Needs Improv	ement/Developing Unsatisfactory (20	%)		
This domain is focused on the professional int		chool leader. The indicators	in this domain focus on	
behaviors essential to success as a school lead				
Standard 10 Professional and Ethical Behavio				
Effective school leaders demonstrate personal community leader.	and protessional benaviors consistent with	n quality practices in education	on and as a	

Sumter Leadership Annual Performance Level Calculation Form

Sun	nter Leadersh	nip Annual Pe	erformance L	evel	
Administrator:	ator: School Year: 2			2015-2016	i i
	Lea dership	Practice Score = 46%	of Overall	Points	B (1)
Domain 1: Student Achievement (20%)					Rating
	onal Leadership (40%)				
Domain 3: Organiza					
Domain 4: Professional & Ethical Behavior (20%)					
				Total	
	Deliberate	Practice Score = 20%	of Overall		
	Goal	Rating	Points Assigned]	
		Effective	4		
		ctive	3	4	
		ment/Developing	2	4	
		y - Progressing	1 0	-	
	Unsatis	factory	0	Points	Rating
Goal #1 - School Imp	provement			Forms	nacing
Goal #2 - Profession					
Goal #3 - Personal D	evelopment				
				Total	
Enter M. Balan (A		rowth Measure = 34%			
Years Used for Evalu		nd Prior Year or Curre	nt Year If Year 1)		
rears used for Evalu	ation				Score
Schoolwide VAM (Re	ading & Math). State	and District Assessme	ents		30016
	An	inual Performance Le	vel		
				-	
	Domain Rating	Point Assigned	Final Rating Scale	4	
	Highly Effective Effective	4	3.2001 to 4.0000 2.4001 to 3.2000	-	
	Needs Imp./Dev.	2	2.0000 to 2.4000	-	
	Unsatisfactory	1	0.0000 to 1.9999	1	
	,	-		5	
				Points	Rating
Annual Performance					
Eligible for Performa	ince Based Pay				
		Cignottures			
		Signatures			
Admin	istrator Signature		Date		
Eval	uator Signature		Date		
Evaluator signature			Date		

Professional Improvement Plan Form

SUMTER COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS				
Administrative - Professional Improvement Plan (PIP)				
Employee Name:		EIN:		
Evaluator:		School Year:		
Assignment:		School Site:		
Targeted Domain: Growth Goal:		Targeted Standard:		
Growth Goal:				
Evaluator Recommendations for Pro	ofessional Learning:			
Administrator Reflection on Comple	eted Professional Learning:			
Targeted Domain:		Targeted Standard:		
Growth Goal:				
Fundamentary Research and the second second				
Evaluator Recommendations for Pro	oressional Learning:			
Administrator Reflection on Comple	eted Professional Learning:			
Administrator Signature - FALL	. Evaluator Signa	ture - FALL	Date	
Administrator Signature - SPRIN				
	G Evaluator Signat	ure - SPRING	Date	
	G Evaluator Signat	ure - SPRING	Date	

Unsatisfactory Rating Growth Plan

SUMTER COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS					
Administrative - Unsatisfactory Rating - Growth Plan					
Employee Name:	EIN:				
Evaluator:			School Year:		
Assignment:			School Site:		
Targeted Domain(s):		Targeted	Standard(s):		
Deliberate Practice:			Growth:		
Notice Date:					
A .:					
Action Plan:					
Evaluator Recommendations/As	sistance for Profess	ional Learning:			
Timeline for Completion:					
Timeline for completion.					
Review of Evidence and Date of	Review:				
Results with Evidence of Comple	tion:				
Results with Evidence of comple	uon.				
Evaluator Recommendations for	Future Growth:				
Administrator Reflection:					
Administrator Signature - FA	L E	valuator Signature	e - FALL	Date	
Administrator Signature - SPRI	NG Exc	aluator Signature	SPRING	Date	
Auministrator Signature - SPKI	EVa	nuator signature	- SPRING	Date	

3. <u>Other Indicators of Performance</u>

Directions:

The district shall provide:

- The additional performance indicators, if the district chooses to include such additional indicators pursuant to s. 1012.34(3)(a)4., F.S.;
- The percentage of the final evaluation that is based upon the additional indicators; and
- The scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(d), F.A.C.].

Examples include the following:

- Deliberate Practice the selection of indicators or practices, improvement on which is measured during an evaluation period
- Peer Reviews
- Objectively reliable survey information from students and parents based on teaching practices that are consistently associated with higher student achievement
- Individual Professional Leadership Plan
- Other indicators, as selected by the district

Deliberate Practice for Professional Growth as a Multiple Measure

Deliberate Practice is summarized as an additional measure of school leader evaluation and is outlined on a summary form delineating three (3) annual goals established collaboratively with the school administrator: school improvement, professional development, and personal development. Using the *Deliberate Practice* form, the school administrator records targets to assess intermittent progress and, later, to document achievement toward same. Goals and expectations that are established incorporate High Effect Size Strategies, Florida's Common Language of Instruction, and, as applicable, recommendations evident from each administrator's previous evaluation. Performance responsibilities are clearly outlined by designating the task, actions to be taken, timeline, result, and proof of evidence. Goal setting is tied closely to the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* as a measure of both student achievement and leadership actions that create opportunities for all school administrators.

Deliberate Practice Score

The *Deliberate Practice* goal setting form is designated for use with principals, assistant principals and assistant principal interns and comprises 20% of the overall score. The *Deliberate Practice* metric will have three specific measureable goals all weighted equally. Each goal will have intermittent progress targets.

.....

Performance Ratings

Through the preponderance of evidence provided by the school administrator and documented by the supervisor the overall performance ratings will be determined. Performance ratings options are as follows:

- <u>Highly Effective</u> Goal met, all intermittent progress targets achieved, and verifiable improvement in leaders performance.
- **<u>Effective -</u>** Goal met, intermittent progress targets achieved....impact not yet evident.
- **<u>Needs Improvement</u>** Goal not met, but some intermittent progress targets met.
- <u>Unsatisfactory</u> Goal not met, nothing beyond 1 intermittent progress target met.

Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure Form

DELIBERATE	DRACTICE	Δς Δ	MUITIDLEN	AF A SU RE
DELIDENAIL	FINALITUL	~ ~	THIO LI IF LL II	ILASONL .

DELIBERATE PRACTICE AS A MOLTIFLE MEASURE	
LEADERSHIP APPRAISAL GOAL SETTING BASED ON HIGH EFFECT SIZE	E STRATEGIES
Established Beginning of Year and Monitored at Mid-yea	ar

School Leader	Date				
Site	School Year				
Deliberate practice Goal: S	chool Improvement				
Florida Principal Leaders					
Measurable Goal:					
Measurable Goal:					
Intermittent Progress Targe	ets:				
Rating Criteria: Co-develope	d upon selection of goal with descriptions provided to leader to achieve HE, E, NI/D, U				
Evidence of Achievement					
Evidence of Achievement					
Rating					
Deliberate practice Goal: P	Professional Development				
Florida Principal Leaders	hip Standard:				
Measurable Goal:					
Measurable doar.					
Intermittent Progress Targe	ats:				
Rating Criteria: Co-develope	d upon selection of goal with descriptions provided to leader to achieve HE, E, NI/D, U				
Evidence of Achievement					
Evidence of Achievement	Evidence of Achievement				
Rating					

Page 1

DELIBERATE PRACTICE AS A MULTIPLE MEASURE LEADERSHIP APPRAISAL GOAL SETTING BASED ON HIGH EFFECT SIZE STRATEGIES Established Beginning of Year and Monitored at Mid-year

School Leader			Date	
Site			School Year	
Deliberate Practice	Goal: Personal Developmen	it		
Florida Principal Le	eadership Standard:			
Measurable Goal:				
Intermittent Progre	ss Targets			
Rating Criteria: Co-d	eveloped upon selection of goa	al with descriptions pro	vided to leader to achieve HE, E, NI/D, U	
Evidence of Achieve	ement			
Rating				
Administrator's Sign	ature		Date	
Supervisor's Signature Date				
Signature denotes receip	ot of a copy of the evaluation and n	ot necessarily agreement	L.	
Copies:	Personnel (original)	Supervisor	Employee	

Page 2

4. <u>Summative Evaluation Score</u>

Directions:

The district shall provide:

- The summative evaluation form(s); and
- The scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined; and
- The performance standards used to determine the summative evaluation rating. Districts shall use the four performance levels provided in s. 1012.34(2)(e), F.S., [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(e), F.A.C.].

The school leader assessment is aligned with the purpose of 1012.34 F.S. and applicable to State Board rules (e.g., 6A-5.065, and 6A-5.080) to provide quality feedback to school administrators on improvement efforts and to provide substance for an annual summative performance level evaluation based on indicators of proficiency. The annual summative performance level is based on two factors:

- <u>Leadership Practice Score</u> is an assessment of the leader's proficiency on the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (FPLS). An effectiveness rating on the Sumter *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* provides for feedback and growth based on work of the school administrator and impact on colleagues. This metric equals 46% of the overall score.
- **Deliberate Practice for Professional Growth as a Multiple Measure** is based on goal setting and accomplishment in three specific areas: school improvement, professional development, and personal development. All goals are weighted equally. This metric equals 20% of the overall score.
- <u>Student Growth Measures Score</u> is the annual performance outcome of students assigned to the school and is based on designated growth measures used and "cut points" applied to conform to state law and State Board of Education rules. This metric equals 34% of the overall score.

Summary of Scoring Process

Score on indicators	Preponderance of evidence documented in matrix
Score on standards	Preponderance of evidence documented in matrix
Score on domains	Preponderance of evidence documented in matrix
Score on Sumter Leadership Appraisal Matrix	Based on formula in this guide
Score on Deliberate Practice Metric	Based on formula in this guide
Calculate Student Growth Measure Score	Use district scale for Student Growth Measure
Calculate Annual Performance Level	Combine Leadership Practice Score, Deliberate Practice
	Score and Student Growth Measures Score based on
	formula in this guide

Multi-dimensional Leadership Evaluation

Leadership Practice uses the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix to* describe a fair and clear system for evaluating behaviors and characteristics of leaders based on observation of the leader's actions and the leader's impact on actions and behaviors of others. Ratings include "highly effective," "effective," "needs improvement," or "unsatisfactory." The matrix is weighted to arrive at score ranges for these proficiency levels. Striving toward increased aptitude, the leader demonstrates understanding of the following performance designations assigned by law:

- <u>Highly Effective</u> leaders have an impact on an entire organization and are a primary source of developing additional leadership in their organization. Their performance is beyond effective. They routinely share their ideas, mentor others and view their roles as improving a single entity but also acting as an agent of system-wide improvement.
- <u>Effective</u> leaders impact organizational needs within their school by reinforcing and challenging students, teachers and leaders within. They meet requirements for success. Their performance is both adequate and necessary for improvement and clearly makes a contribution to their school. While their work is challenging, rigorous, and demanding, they can be proud of their achievements.
- <u>Needs Improvement</u> leaders demonstrate potential but lack sufficient proficiencies to improve student learning, instructional practice, and/or other responsibilities. They understand what is required for success and are willing to work toward that goal with coaching and support for improved performance. They have a desire and personal motivation to make decisions necessary to become more effective leaders within a reasonable time.
- **Developing** is the designation reserved solely for candidates in the Aspiring Educational Administrator Program who have garnered an "assistant principal intern" placement at a school. Adjustments are made by evaluators as appropriate on the school leader evaluation rubric regarding expectations of assistant principal interns vs. bona fide assistant principals or principals. For the assistant principal interns, levels of proficiency including depth, breadth, knowledge, skill, and evidence of demonstration may be modified as deemed appropriate by the evaluator.
- <u>Unsatisfactory</u> describes leaders who are inadequate. They do not understand what is required for proficiency or have demonstrated through their action and inaction that they choose not to strive for improvement. The goal is to identify, define, and document inadequate performance and make necessary changes very quickly.

Deliberate Practice is summarized as an additional measure of school leader evaluation and is outlined on a summary form delineating three (3) annual goals established collaboratively with the school administrator: school improvement, professional development, and personal development. Using the *Deliberate Practice* form, the school administrator records targets to assess intermittent progress and, later, to document achievement toward same. Goals and expectations that are established incorporate High Effect Size Strategies, Florida's Common Language of Instruction, and, as applicable, recommendations evident from each administrator's previous evaluation. Performance responsibilities are clearly outlined by designating the task, actions to be taken, timeline, result, and proof of evidence. Goal setting is tied closely to the Leadership Appraisal Matrix as a measure of both student achievement and leadership actions that create opportunities for all school administrators. **Student Growth Measure,** as a final determinant, accounts for student learning, reflects impact of the leader's behavior on others, and is part of computing the annual performance level for individual school administrators. As reported to the district by the state, the Student Growth Measure is calculated based the performance of students assigned to the school over a three-year (3) period or, as applicable, less than three years if student growth data is not available for an evaluation. Student performance must be measured under s. 1008.22 requirements. According to SB 736, a learning growth model will be established for the Florida Standards Assessment and other statewide assessments to measure the effectiveness of the school administrator based on what a student learns.

Annual Performance Level is the summative designation given to combining final scores. The *Leadership Appraisal Matrix is* used in determining the Leadership Practice Score. In turn, the *Leadership Practice Score*, *Deliberate Practice Score* and *Student Growth Measures* are combined to arrive at the *Annual Performance Level*. Incorporating data provided by the state, this rating is calculated by the district and becomes part of the personnel record. Further details are provided in an accompanying scoring guide for leadership appraisal.

5. Additional Requirements

Directions:

The district shall provide:

- Documentation that the evaluator is the individual who is responsible for supervising the employee. An evaluator may consider input from other personnel trained in evaluation practices. If input is provided by other personnel, identify the additional positions or persons. Examples include assistant principals, peers, district staff, department heads, grade level chairpersons, or team leaders [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)2., F.A.C.].
- Description of training programs and processes to ensure that all employees subject to an evaluation system are informed on evaluation criteria, data sources, methodologies, and procedures associated with the evaluation before the evaluation takes place, and that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluation understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)3., F.A.C.].
- Description of the processes for providing timely feedback to the individual being evaluated [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)4., F.A.C.].
- Description of how results from the evaluation system will be used for professional development [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)5., F.A.C.].
- Confirmation that the district will require participation in specific professional development programs by those who have been evaluated as less than effective as required by s. 1012.98(10), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)6., F.A.C.].
- Documentation that all school administrators must be evaluated at least once a year [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)7., F.A.C.].
- Documentation that the evaluation system for school administrators includes opportunities for parents to provide input into performance evaluations when the district determines such input is appropriate, and a description of the criteria for inclusion, and the manner of inclusion of parental input [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)9., F.A.C.].
- Description of the district's peer assistance process, if any, for school administrators. Peer assistance may be part of the regular evaluation system, or used to assist personnel who are placed on performance probation, or who request assistance [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)11., F.A.C.].
- If included by a district, a description of the opportunity for instructional personnel to provide input into a school administrator's performance evaluation [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(f)12., F.A.C.].

The district's organizational chart identifies all administrative positions and the supervisory chain of command. With input from district administrators, the superintendent completes all summative evaluations for school principals.

.....

Evaluator Training and Monitoring: Superintendent, Directors of Elementary/Secondary Education & Principals

Professional development for evaluators provides the following:

- A deeper understanding of the research framework;
- Assistance in developing inter-rater reliability;
- Developing proficiency in distinguishing levels within the evaluation matrix;
- Ensuring reliability checks in use of the matrix;
- Enhancing abilities to provide feedback using the Common Language of Instruction;
- Conveying protocols, processes and procedures for implementing the evaluation system.

For these purposes, the district will ensure quality training of evaluators prior to commencing evaluation during the school year. Initial training will be conducted by The Leadership and Learning Center while subsequent training will be provided by the Human Resources Department. The district evaluators will monitor evaluation performance results and serve as mediators when discrepancies exist. They will frequently converse, collaborate, and compare outcomes to guarantee reliability and consistency of the evaluation system across the district.

Training for School Leaders: Principals, Assistant Principals, Assistant Principal Interns and Evaluators: Directors of Elementary/Secondary Education, Principals

At the initial introduction of this evaluation system, an expert consultant from The Leadership and Learning Center will provide a full day of training to school leaders and evaluators including the following:

- review of performance appraisal instruments and associated documents
- content
- procedures
- expectations
- evidence collection and scoring
- self-check of alignment
- support tools for the person being evaluated including Common Language of Instruction
- mentoring
- professional development opportunities

Subsequently, training will be provided in-house incorporating the same topics for new hires or those needing follow-up.

.....

Pre-planning for Evaluation

In preparation for initial contact with school leaders concerning *Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure*, the superintendent solicits input from district administrators and relies on respective contributions of evaluators responsible for elementary and secondary levels in drafting individual or collective, annual goals. Three (3) goals are determined for each school leader: a school improvement goal, a professional goal, and a personal goal. The goal setting is based on prior evaluation needs, current school/district needs, student performance historical data, new legislative requirements, and the Florida Principal Leadership Standards. After preparing the goals, district administrators responsible for the evaluations schedule individual, initial conferences with school administrators to deliver and discuss expectations and associated dynamics of Deliberate Practice initiatives. As the superintendent prepares the *Deliberate Practice Multiple Measure* form for each principal, the principal does likewise for school level assistant principals and/or assistant principal interns.

Initial Conference

Prior to the end of September the initial conference is scheduled by the superintendent or designee with the principal. In turn, the principal conducts the initial conference with the assistant principal and/or assistant principal intern during that same month. The purpose of the initial conference is to review and establish clear expectations and set goals for the year that focus on student achievement, individual strengths, system priorities, and unique situational circumstances. The school leader is responsible throughout the year for collecting evidence to document established goals. The initial conference may include the following intents:

- Discuss domains, standards and indictors related to student achievement, staff professional development needs, school improvement, system issues, school site developments, personal growth needs, or other pertinent topics.
- Establish *Deliberate Practice* goals that interface with school and district goals.
- Discuss student growth data and measures of performance.
- Consider means that support continuous school improvement.
- Review collection of evidence to document demonstration of the leadership domains, standards and indicators such as on-site interviews, observations, work site analysis, management information system reports, annual reports, school improvement initiatives, student performance, customer surveys, and teacher/parental input as appropriate.
- Discuss school leadership expectations concerning the effective use of the Sumter County Schools' Framework for Teaching evaluation instrument.
- Promote professional development and opportunities to improve skills and knowledge.
- Determine how input will be secured from parents, teachers, and customers (climate surveys).

- Determine how to maintain a positive and collaborative relationship with stakeholders to increase student achievement.
- Answer questions.
- Provide mentoring.

Mid-year Conference and Monitoring/Formative

The mid-year conference is a collaborative, monitoring meeting between the administrator being evaluated and the evaluator responsible for conducting the evaluation: assistant principal or assistant principal intern evaluated by the principal; principal evaluated by an appropriate district program elementary or secondary director. Formative in nature, it is conducted in December or January to discuss and record progress on accomplishing annual goals cited on the *Deliberate Practice Multiple Measure* and produce evidence for ratings on meeting proficiency levels detailed in the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix*. Evidence at this time could come from frequent site visits by the evaluator and other district administrators, supervisor observations, artifacts, data, a portfolio, interviews, surveys and other accumulated information analyzed in the context of the evaluation indicators. The responsibility for producing appropriate evidence rests with the individual being evaluated while the evaluator is responsible for detailed documentation of same for use by the superintendent in the final conference.

The mid-year conference is an opportunity for praise, encouragement, and recommendations. It also provides an opportunity for coaching, mentoring, and timely feedback. From the conference, recommendations for professional development may evolve or further association with like communities of practice. All domains, standards and indicators are part of the discussion and documentation.

A deadline prior to the final conference is afforded for follow up submission of evidence in areas where little or no evidence is presented in the mid-year conference. These are areas that would otherwise result in a "Needs Improvement/Developing" or "Unsatisfactory" rating but might also be intended as evidence to bolster a rating upward. Any actions or inactions that might result in an "Unsatisfactory" rating on a domain, standard or indicator if not improved are communicated. Accompanied by appropriate forms (*Sumter Leadership Annual Performance Level Calculation, Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form, Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form Summary,* and *Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure*), the evaluator also submits a documented list or narrative of evidence to the superintendent. Although this process is led by the district evaluator for school principals, the mid-year conference is to be conducted by the principal for assistant principals and assistant principal interns assigned to the school site.

Preparation for a Consolidated Performance Assessment

The superintendent will use the following forms and written information in preparation for the consolidated performance assessment:

- Sumter Leadership Annual Performance Level Calculation
- Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form

- Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form Summary
- Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure
- Documented listing or narrative submitted by the evaluator
- Student Growth Measures on state assessment provided by the state
- Parental/teacher input through annual Climate Survey results
- School recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers per SB 736
- Improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective levels SB 736
- Other leadership practices that result in improved student outcomes

The superintendent relies on this information and a personal meeting with the evaluator to discuss, and tentatively calculate an individual's overall appraisal and *Annual Performance Level*...the final evaluation rating for each school principal. At this time, the superintendent also considers parental and teacher input as appropriate evidence into the school leader's evaluation. The superintendent uses the Annual Performance Level Calculation electronic form to accumulate evidence, consolidate ratings, and summarize the outcome for principals. The site administrator does likewise for assistant principals and assistant principal interns.

Final Conference/Summative

Based on this determination and prior to September 15th, a final conference is held between the Superintendent of Schools and individual school principal to present the *Annual Performance Level* rating. In the case of the assistant principal or assistant principal intern, the site administrator conducts the summative conference. The purposes of the final conference are noted:

- Review all evidence submitted.
- Discuss the individual's performance rating on the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* reflecting the Florida Principal Leadership Standards and arrival at one of four possible performance levels to determine the *Leadership Practice Score* and associated proficiency designation.
- Consider accomplishment status of each of three (3) predetermined goals listed as *Deliberate Practice* and determine the combined final proficiency level.
- Determine impact of the *Student Growth Measure* and proficiency level.
- *Consider parent and teacher Climate Survey responses.
- *Review the administrator's evaluation of instructional personnel and all pertinent data to determine improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective level.
- *Review school recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers.
- Combine all for an Annual Performance Level designation.
- Discuss areas of commendation, where improvement can be accomplished, professional development needed, and how assistance can be provided to help achieve a higher level of performance while also discussing employment consequences based on a less than desirable

performance level and procedures for moving forward.

• File final, signed *Annual Performance Level Calculation* form in the Personnel Department to become part of the individual's personnel record (copy provided to the school leader). The Personnel Department will comply with 1012.34 reporting requirements to the Florida Department of Education.

*Measures of practice or job responsibilities shall be incorporated into the leadership practice portion of the performance level rating.

.....

During the final conference the evaluator and administrator discuss areas where improvement can be accomplished, professional development is needed, and how assistance can be provided to help achieve a higher level of performance.

Professional Improvement Plans incorporate personal and school improvement initiatives and contain evidence of professional training pursuits available through various platforms such as face-to-face, webinars, online programming purchased for district-wide use, and other forms of learning. Records maintained by the district attest to an individual's professional development activities coupled with expectation and monitoring for implementation and resulting student performance improvements.

Likewise, annual professional development needs assessment results are compiled and stimulate directives and initiatives for the subsequent year. Needs assessment results are received from all administrators, teachers, and non-instructional personnel.

.....

Professional Improvement Plan (PIP)

Any individual receiving a less than effective overall evaluation score must complete a *Professional Improvement Plan.* No later than September 30, evaluator will collaborate with administrators to develop a PIP. The plan will address up to three areas for improvement, that are mutually agreed upon by the evaluator and administrator, as identified by the administrator's performance evaluation rating from the previous school year.

All professional development associated with the *Professional Improvement Plan* must be completed prior to the finalization of the *Leadership Practice* portion of the evaluation.

Professional Improvement Plans support the district's commitment to provide direction and support to personnel who are experiencing difficulty in meeting professional performance standards. The program calls together professionals to provide assistance in helping an individual be successful. The highest level of success is realized when an employee ceases to rely upon external support and direction and, instead, becomes self-motivated in a personal program of professional growth.

This does not preclude dismissal or other administrative actions as otherwise provided for under law or contract.

Please see Appendix: C

"Unsatisfactory" Rating Growth Plan

An overall rating of "less than effective" is followed by a written explanation with suggestions, assistance and a timeline for improvement. At the Superintendent's discretion, a rating on any leadership domain, standard, indicator, deliberate practice, or student growth measure of "unsatisfactory" may be accompanied by a written explanation.

Should an individual receive an overall rating of "unsatisfactory," a plan will be developed to allow the individual to improve performance including a timeline and suggested assistance (inservice, college level intervention, model visit and follow-up plan, training, seminars, peer mentor, on-site assistance, etc.) for improvement. This plan will be collaboratively developed between the district and a university designee for completers of Sumter's aspiring educational administrator program who are in their first two years of being a new principal or collaboratively among the superintendent and district evaluators for a more seasoned school level principal.

Results from the remediation plan will be documented in a portfolio format, and data collected will be produced as evidence. Required feedback is necessary from the district, cooperating university (as applicable) and principal being evaluated.

For any person employed as a member of the administrative staff, the initial annual contract within each job classification shall include a 97 day probationary period during which time the employee's contract may be terminated without cause or the employee may resign without breach of contract (F.S. 1012.33(1) (b). An administrative employee with less than three (3) years of administrative experience in Sumter County shall be appointed to a one (1) year contract and with no unsatisfactory annual evaluations shall be appointed to a three (3) year contract after completion of their third year of administrative service. This three (3) year contract shall be extended unless a recommendation to the contrary, based on Just Cause as defined in School Board Policy, is made by the Superintendent to the School Board. Re-employed retirees will remain on annual contract.

The district school superintendent shall annually notify the department of any school administrators who receive two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations. The district school superintendent shall also notify the department of any school administrators who are given written notice by the district of intent to terminate or not renew their employment. The department shall conduct an investigation to determine whether action shall be taken against the certificate holder pursuant to a. 1012.795.

.....

The *Sumter Leadership Appraisal Matrix,* considered as one element in the school district's leadership evaluation, is designed to incorporate all four domains of the Florida Principal Leadership Standards: Student Achievement, Instructional Leadership, Organizational Leadership, and Professional and Ethical Behavior. The domains are defined by ten (10) interfacing standards and are further described by specific indicators of a scoring rubric classifying behaviors and characteristics of leaders at four (4) performance levels. All principals, assistant principals, and assistant principal interns are evaluated annually by their immediate supervisor using the Sumter School District *Leadership Appraisal Matrix*. Such evaluation shall be ongoing, sufficiently specific, and frequent enough to support demonstrated proficiency.

Complementing the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* are additional gauges of performance: *Deliberate Practice* and *Student Growth Measures* that, when combined provide an *Annual Performance Level* rating. This is an overall rating encompassing outcomes from each of these measures and is the culmination of a year's work reflective of the success of the school leader.

Parental Input

A mechanism is available to provide parents an opportunity for input into the school leader's evaluation through climate surveys conducted annually. Surveys are completed electronically and anonymously with a single click response to multiple questions that respect participants' time and opinions. The outcome is helpful in identifying perceptions about school climate that impact staff, the learning environment, and student achievement. Using this mechanism, consideration is afforded to prevalent judgments and insightful views during continuous improvement planning. It is imperative that teachers are motivated to teach and students motivated to learn in order to sustain a healthy culture for learning. With a positive school climate benefitting students, teachers and staff, the district and schools continually analyze and improve services based on climate survey results.

The parent survey is sent home annually with every student in the school system and includes ten (10) categories, one directed specifically toward school leadership and others indicative of leadership influence for overall impact. The parent survey consists of forty six (46) questions divided among the following categories: Instructional Leadership, Clear and Focused Mission, Safe and Orderly Environment, Positive School Climate, High Expectations, Monitoring of Student Achievement, Emphasis on Essential Skills, Maximum Opportunities for Learning, Parent and Community Involvement, and Technology in the Home.

The teacher survey is inclusive of all in the building and has eleven (11) categories with one specifically for collecting opinions on school leadership and others with a more global sense of leadership influence. The teacher survey consists of seventy two (72) questions divided among the following categories: Instructional Leadership, Clear and Focused Mission, Safe and Orderly Environment, Positive School Climate, High Expectations for Student Learning, Frequent Monitoring of Student Achievement, Mastery of Essential Skills, Maximum Opportunities for Learning, Parent and Community Involvement, Teachers as Professionals, and an open ended question on Change.

The evaluator determines the impact of survey results to provide input into the school leader evaluation. A weight is not assigned as a measurement mechanism but instead, conversation, influence, and consideration of opinions are incorporated in the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* ratings under Florida Principal Leadership Standard 9.

The district does not use a peer assistance process.

.....

Teacher Input

A mechanism is available to provide teachers an opportunity for input into the school leader's evaluation through climate surveys conducted annually. Surveys are completed electronically and anonymously with a single click response to multiple questions that respect participants' time and opinions. The outcome is helpful in identifying perceptions about school climate that impact staff, the learning environment, and student achievement. Using this mechanism, consideration is afforded to prevalent judgments and insightful views during continuous improvement planning. It is imperative that teachers are motivated to teach and students motivated to learn in order to sustain a healthy culture for learning. With a positive school climate benefitting students, teachers and staff, the district and schools continually analyze and improve services based on climate survey results.

The teacher survey is inclusive of all in the building and has eleven (11) categories with one specifically for collecting opinions on school leadership and others with a more global sense of leadership influence. The teacher survey consists of seventy two (72) questions divided among the following categories: Instructional Leadership, Clear and Focused Mission, Safe and Orderly Environment, Positive School Climate, High Expectations for Student Learning, Frequent Monitoring of Student Achievement, Mastery of Essential Skills, Maximum Opportunities for Learning, Parent and Community Involvement, Teachers as Professionals, and an open ended question on Change.

The evaluator determines the impact of survey results to provide input into the school leader evaluation. A weight is not assigned as a measurement mechanism but instead, conversation, influence, and consideration of opinions are incorporated in the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* ratings under Florida Principal Leadership Standard 9.

6. <u>District Evaluation Procedures</u>

Directions:

The district shall provide evidence that its evaluation policies and procedures comply with the following statutory requirements:

- In accordance with s. 1012.34(3)(c), F.S., the evaluator must:
 - submit a written report of the evaluation to the district school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)1., F.A.C.].
 - submit the written report to the employee no later than 10 days after the evaluation takes place [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)2., F.A.C.].
 - discuss the written evaluation report with the employee [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)3.,F.A.C.].
 - The employee shall have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(g)4., F.A.C.].
- Documentation the district has complied with the requirement that the district school superintendent shall annually notify the Department of any school administrators who receive two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations and shall notify the Department of any school administrators who are given written notice by the district of intent to terminate or not renew their employment, as outlined in s. 1012.34(5), F.S. [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(i), F.A.C.].

.....

Final Conference/Summative

Based on this determination and prior to September 15th, a final conference is held between the Superintendent of Schools and individual school principal to present the *Annual Performance Level* rating. In the case of the assistant principal or assistant principal intern, the site administrator conducts the summative conference. The purposes of the final conference are noted:

- Review all evidence submitted.
- Discuss the individual's performance rating on the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* reflecting the Florida Principal Leadership Standards and arrival at one of four possible performance levels to determine the *Leadership Practice Score* and associated proficiency designation.
- Consider accomplishment status of each of three (3) predetermined goals listed as *Deliberate Practice* and determine the combined final proficiency level.
- Determine impact of the *Student Growth Measure* and proficiency level.
- *Consider parent and teacher Climate Survey responses.
- *Review the administrator's evaluation of instructional personnel and all pertinent data to determine

improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective level.

- *Review school recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers.
- Combine all for an Annual Performance Level designation.
- Discuss areas of commendation, where improvement can be accomplished, professional development needed, and how assistance can be provided to help achieve a higher level of performance while also discussing employment consequences based on a less than desirable performance level and procedures for moving forward.
- File final, signed *Annual Performance Level Calculation* form in the Personnel Department to become part of the individual's personnel record (copy provided to the school leader).

The Personnel Department will comply with 1012.34 reporting requirements to the Florida Department of Education.

*Measures of practice or job responsibilities shall be incorporated into the leadership practice portion of the performance level rating.

7. District Self-Monitoring

Directions:

The district shall provide a description of its process for annually monitoring its evaluation system. The district monitoring shall determine, at a minimum, the following:

- Evaluators' understanding of the proper use of evaluation criteria and procedures, including evaluator accuracy and inter-rater reliability; [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)1., F.A.C.]
- Evaluators provide necessary and timely feedback to employees being evaluated; [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)2., F.A.C.]
- Evaluators follow district policies and procedures in the implementation of evaluation system(s); [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)3., F.A.C.]
- Use of evaluation data to identify individual professional development; and [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)4., F.A.C.]
- Use of evaluation data to inform school and district improvement plans [Rule 6A-5.030(2)(j)5., F.A.C.].

.....

Evaluator Training and Monitoring: Superintendent, Directors of Elementary/Secondary Education & Principals

Professional development for evaluators provides the following:

- A deeper understanding of the research framework;
- Assistance in developing inter-rater reliability;
- Developing proficiency in distinguishing levels within the evaluation matrix;
- Ensuring reliability checks in use of the matrix;
- Enhancing abilities to provide feedback using the Common Language of Instruction;
- Conveying protocols, processes and procedures for implementing the evaluation system.

For these purposes, the district will ensure quality training of evaluators prior to commencing evaluation during the school year. Initial training will be conducted by The Leadership and Learning Center while subsequent training will be provided by the Human Resources Department. The district evaluators will monitor evaluation performance results and serve as mediators when discrepancies exist. They will frequently converse, collaborate, and compare outcomes to guarantee reliability and consistency of the evaluation system across the district.

Training for School Leaders: Principals, Assistant Principals, Assistant Principal Interns and Evaluators: Directors of Elementary/Secondary Education, Principals

At the initial introduction of this evaluation system, an expert consultant from The Leadership and Learning Center will provide a full day of training to school leaders and evaluators including the following:

- review of performance appraisal instruments and associated documents
- content
- procedures
- expectations
- evidence collection and scoring
- self-check of alignment
- support tools for the person being evaluated including Common Language of Instruction
- mentoring
- professional development opportunities

Subsequently, training will be provided in-house incorporating the same topics for new hires or those needing follow-up.

.....

Pre-planning for Evaluation

In preparation for initial contact with school leaders concerning *Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure*, the superintendent solicits input from district administrators and relies on respective contributions of evaluators responsible for elementary and secondary levels in drafting individual or collective, annual goals. Three (3) goals are determined for each school leader: a school improvement goal, a professional goal, and a personal goal. The goal setting is based on prior evaluation needs, current school/district needs, student performance historical data, new legislative requirements, and the Florida Principal Leadership Standards. After preparing the goals, district administrators responsible for the evaluations schedule individual, initial conferences with school administrators to deliver and discuss expectations and associated dynamics of Deliberate Practice initiatives. As the superintendent prepares the *Deliberate Practice Multiple Measure* form for each principal, the principal does likewise for school level assistant principals and/or assistant principal interns.

Initial Conference

Prior to the end of September the initial conference is scheduled by the superintendent or designee with the principal. In turn, the principal conducts the initial conference with the assistant principal and/or assistant principal intern during that same month. The purpose of the initial conference is to review and establish clear expectations and set goals for the year that focus on student achievement, individual strengths, system priorities, and unique situational circumstances. The school leader is responsible

throughout the year for collecting evidence to document established goals. The initial conference may include the following intents:

- Discuss domains, standards and indictors related to student achievement, staff professional development needs, school improvement, system issues, school site developments, personal growth needs, or other pertinent topics.
- Establish *Deliberate Practice* goals that interface with school and district goals.
- Discuss student growth data and measures of performance.
- Consider means that support continuous school improvement.
- Review collection of evidence to document demonstration of the leadership domains, standards and indicators such as on-site interviews, observations, work site analysis, management information system reports, annual reports, school improvement initiatives, student performance, customer surveys, and teacher/parental input as appropriate.
- Discuss school leadership expectations concerning the effective use of the Sumter County Schools' Framework for Teaching evaluation instrument.
- Promote professional development and opportunities to improve skills and knowledge.
- Determine how input will be secured from parents, teachers, and customers (climate surveys).
- Determine how to maintain a positive and collaborative relationship with stakeholders to increase student achievement.
- Answer questions.
- Provide mentoring.

Mid-year Conference and Monitoring/Formative

The mid-year conference is a collaborative, monitoring meeting between the administrator being evaluated and the evaluator responsible for conducting the evaluation: assistant principal or assistant principal intern evaluated by the principal; principal evaluated by an appropriate district program elementary or secondary director. Formative in nature, it is conducted in December or January to discuss and record progress on accomplishing annual goals cited on the *Deliberate Practice Multiple Measure* and produce evidence for ratings on meeting proficiency levels detailed in the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix*. Evidence at this time could come from frequent site visits by the evaluator and other district administrators, supervisor observations, artifacts, data, a portfolio, interviews, surveys and other accumulated information analyzed in the context of the evaluation indicators. The responsibility for producing appropriate evidence rests with the individual being evaluated while the evaluator is responsible for detailed documentation of same for use by the superintendent in the final conference.

The mid-year conference is an opportunity for praise, encouragement, and recommendations. It also provides an opportunity for coaching, mentoring, and timely feedback. From the conference, recommendations for professional development may evolve or further association with like communities of practice. All domains, standards and indicators are part of the discussion and documentation. A deadline prior to the final conference is afforded for follow up submission of evidence in areas where little or no evidence is presented in the mid-year conference. These are areas that would otherwise result in a "Needs Improvement/Developing" or "Unsatisfactory" rating but might also be intended as evidence to bolster a rating upward. Any actions or inactions that might result in an "Unsatisfactory" rating on a domain, standard or indicator if not improved are communicated. Accompanied by appropriate forms (*Sumter Leadership Annual Performance Level Calculation, Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form, Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form Summary,* and *Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure*), the evaluator also submits a documented list or narrative of evidence to the superintendent. Although this process is led by the district evaluator for school principals, the mid-year conference is to be conducted by the principal for assistant principals and assistant principal interns assigned to the school site.

Preparation for a Consolidated Performance Assessment

The superintendent will use the following forms and written information in preparation for the consolidated performance assessment:

- Sumter Leadership Annual Performance Level Calculation
- Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form
- Leadership Evaluation Proficiency Conference Form Summary
- Deliberate Practice as a Multiple Measure
- Documented listing or narrative submitted by the evaluator
- Student Growth Measures on state assessment provided by the state
- Parental/teacher input through annual Climate Survey results
- School recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers per SB 736
- Improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective levels SB 736
- Other leadership practices that result in improved student outcomes

The superintendent relies on this information and a personal meeting with the evaluator to discuss, and tentatively calculate an individual's overall appraisal and *Annual Performance Level*...the final evaluation rating for each school principal. At this time, the superintendent also considers parental and teacher input as appropriate evidence into the school leader's evaluation. The superintendent uses the Annual Performance Level Calculation electronic form to accumulate evidence, consolidate ratings, and summarize the outcome for principals. The site administrator does likewise for assistant principals and assistant principal interns.

Final Conference/Summative

Based on this determination and prior to September 15th, a final conference is held between the Superintendent of Schools and individual school principal to present the *Annual Performance Level* rating.

In the case of the assistant principal or assistant principal intern, the site administrator conducts the summative conference. The purposes of the final conference are noted:

- Review all evidence submitted.
- Discuss the individual's performance rating on the *Leadership Appraisal Matrix* reflecting the Florida Principal Leadership Standards and arrival at one of four possible performance levels to determine the *Leadership Practice Score* and associated proficiency designation.
- Consider accomplishment status of each of three (3) predetermined goals listed as *Deliberate Practice* and determine the combined final proficiency level.
- Determine impact of the *Student Growth Measure* and proficiency level.
- *Consider parent and teacher Climate Survey responses.
- *Review the administrator's evaluation of instructional personnel and all pertinent data to determine improvement in the percentage of classroom teachers evaluated at the effective or highly effective level.
- *Review school recruitment and retention statistics of effective or highly effective teachers.
- Combine all for an Annual Performance Level designation.
- Discuss areas of commendation, where improvement can be accomplished, professional development needed, and how assistance can be provided to help achieve a higher level of performance while also discussing employment consequences based on a less than desirable performance level and procedures for moving forward.
- File final, signed *Annual Performance Level Calculation* form in the Personnel Department to become part of the individual's personnel record (copy provided to the school leader). The Personnel Department will comply with 1012.34 reporting requirements to the Florida Department of Education.

.....

System Monitoring & Assessment

The system will be monitored and assessed annually by selected administrative personnel and revisions considered as appropriate and necessary for the ultimate purpose of increasing student learning growth. Items reviewed will include the following:

- student data
- school leader and teacher evaluation data
- adherence to system policies, procedures and timelines throughout school year
- professional development needs based on evaluation outcomes
- and other applicable information

Data collected from this process are used to determine next steps in supporting continuous improvement. The superintendent and School Board are advised of findings and responsible for reviewing and/or approving system revisions.

.....

Continuous Improvement

The school leader evaluation system is a capacity building continuum that provides leaders with an understanding of where they need to direct personal leadership abilities and continuous school improvement. The district has a focused process for coordination of evaluation, school improvement and professional development planning, data collection and analysis, and impact monitoring. These elements are wrapped in a continuous cycle with improved student learning growth at its core. Based on data from student performance measures, administrators direct their schools and faculties in the school improvement process. Student data including that of subgroups is analyzed and plans developed to address needs in core content and other specific areas. Formal presentation of individual school plans is afforded the superintendent, school board members, faculty and community at a public hearing to unveil current academic standing, curricular initiatives, and charting of future endeavors. These plans are aligned with the overall district strategic planning.

As more specific data is received over the summer, schools then prepare School Improvement Plans based on statistical information and present same in a formal setting to the superintendent, district administrators, and peers. For this presentation, schools rely on data available in multiple configurations and kept current by Performance Matters. Baseline, mid-year, and end-of-year assessment data used for impact monitoring assist in always having a pulse on projected student outcomes and needs. Adjustments and corrections are made mid-course for purposes of continuous school improvement. These may include professional development, rethinking programs and schedules, provision of extra assistance for struggling students, etc.

Professional Improvement Plans and administrator goal setting incorporate personal and school improvement initiatives and contain evidence of professional training pursuits available through various platforms such as face-to-face, webinars, online programming purchased for district-wide use, and other forms of learning. Records maintained by the district attest to an individual's professional development activities coupled with expectation and monitoring for implementation and resulting student performance improvements. Annual performance evaluation results also help target professional development pursuits at district, school and individual educator level recorded in teacher IPDPs and administrator Deliberate Practice goal setting plans. They form the foundation for school improvement planning.

Likewise, annual professional development needs assessment results are compiled and stimulate directives and initiatives for the subsequent year. Needs assessment results are received from all administrators, teachers, and non-instructional personnel.

Through the district's five-year (5) strategic plan and annual updates, an annual budget is developed and approved. Needs are prioritized, goals analyzed and formulated, and objectives visited in order to ensure the resources necessary for meeting expected or estimated needs. The district adjusts funding priorities to adequately and successfully impact overall student achievement. The school board, using recommendations provided by the finance department, continues to support a responsive budgeting

process that links the district's goals and objectives with appropriate resources. The finance department continues to evaluate and review the district budget. Yearly audits support and confirm effective budget management. The board is further committed to maintaining a 3-5% fund balance level as a "rainy day" reserve.

All of these indicators are part of a continuous improvement process that articulates the vision and purpose the system is pursuing. It engages stakeholders, ensures alignment of school plans with the system's effectiveness and expectations for student learning. It focuses on increasing learning for all students and closing gaps between current and expected student performance levels. The system provides research-based professional development to help achieve improvement goals. Monitoring and communicating results of improvement efforts to stakeholders is routinely part of the culture. Evaluation and documentation of progress is a cyclical for the purpose of providing assistance, allocating resources, providing feedback, and protecting time for planning and engagement to support continuous improvement efforts.

Appendix: A

Checklist for Approval
Performance of Students

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

For all school administrators:

- x The percentage of the evaluation that is based on the performance of students' criterion.
- X An explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.
- \mathbf{x} At least one-third of the evaluation is based on performance of students.

For all school administrators confirmed the inclusion of student performance:

- X Data for at least three years, including the current year and the two years immediately preceding the current year, when available.
- X If less than the three most recent years of data are available, those years for which data are available must be used.
- X If more than three years of student performance data are used, specified the years that will be used.

For all school administrators:

X The district-determined student performance measure(s) used for personnel evaluations.

Instructional Leadership

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

For all school administrators:

- X The percentage of the evaluation system that is based on the instructional leadership criterion.
- X At least one-third of the evaluation is based on instructional leadership.
- X An explanation of the scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.
- X The district evaluation framework for school administrators is based on contemporary research in effective educational practices.

For all school administrators:

X A crosswalk from the district's evaluation framework to the Principal Leadership Standards demonstrating that the district's evaluation contains indicators based upon each of the Principal Leadership Standards.

For all school administrators:

X Procedures for conducting observations and collecting data and other evidence of instructional leadership.

Other Indicators of Performance

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

- x Described the additional performance indicators, if any.
- X The percentage of the final evaluation that is based upon the additional indicators.
- X The scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.

Summative Evaluation Score

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

- χ Summative evaluation form(s).
- X Scoring method, including how it is calculated and combined.
- X The performance standards used to determine the summative evaluation rating (the four performance levels: highly effective, effective, needs improvement/developing, unsatisfactory).

Additional Requirements

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

- X Documented that the evaluator is the individual who is responsible for supervising the employee.
- X Identified additional positions or persons who provide input toward the evaluation, if any.

Description of training programs:

- X Processes to ensure that all employees subject to an evaluation system are informed on evaluation criteria, data sources, methodologies, and procedures associated with the evaluation before the evaluation takes place.
- X Processes to ensure that all individuals with evaluation responsibilities and those who provide input toward evaluation understand the proper use of the evaluation criteria and procedures.

Documented:

- $_{\rm X}$ $\,$ Processes for providing timely feedback to the individual being evaluated.
- X Description of how results from the evaluation system will be used for professional development.
- X Requirement for participation in specific professional development programs by those who have been evaluated as less than effective.
- χ All school administrators must be evaluated at least once a year.

For school administrators:

- X Inclusion of opportunities for parents to provide input into performance evaluations when the district determines such input is appropriate.
- X Description of the district's criteria for inclusion of parental input.
- X Description of manner of inclusion of parental input.
- X Description of the district's peer assistance process, if any.
- X Description of an opportunity for instructional personnel to provide input into a school administrator's evaluation, if any.

District Evaluation Procedures

The district has provided and meets the following criteria:

- χ That its evaluation procedures comply with s. 1012.34(3)(c), F.S., including:
 - That the evaluator must submit a written report of the evaluation to the district school superintendent for the purpose of reviewing the employee's contract.
 - That the evaluator must submit the written report to the employee no later than 10 days after the evaluation takes place.
 - > That the evaluator must discuss the written evaluation report with the employee.
 - That the employee shall have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file.
- X That district evaluation procedures require the district school superintendent to annually notify the Department of any school administrators who receive two consecutive unsatisfactory evaluations and to notify the Department of any school administrators who are given written notice by the district of intent to terminate or not renew their employment, as outlined in s. 1012.34, F.S.

District Self-Monitoring

The district self-monitoring includes processes to determine the following:

- X Evaluators' understanding of the proper use of evaluation criteria and procedures, including evaluator accuracy and inter-rater reliability.
- X Evaluators provide necessary and timely feedback to employees being evaluated.
- X Evaluators follow district policies and procedures in evaluation system(s).
- $_{\rm X}$ $\,$ The use of evaluation data to identify individual professional development.
- \mathbf{x} The use of evaluation data to inform school and district improvement plans.

Appendix: B

Leadership Appraisal Matrix

School Based Administrator Evaluation Rubric

HIGHLY EFFECTIVE

(System-wide Impact)

In addition to "Effective"

Highly effective leaders have an impact on an entire organization and are a primary source of developing additional leadership in their organization. Their performance is beyond effective. They routinely share their ideas, mentor others and view their roles as improving a single entity but also acting as an agent of system-wide improvement.

EFFECTIVE

(Local Impact)

Effective leaders impact organizational needs within their school by reinforcing and challenging students, teachers and leaders within. They meet requirements for success. Their performance is both adequate and necessary for improvement and clearly makes a contribution to their school. While their work is challenging, rigorous, and demanding, they can be proud of their achievements.

NEEDS IMPROVEMENT/DEVELOPING

(Leadership Potential)

Needs Improvement leaders are principals and assistant principals designated as demonstrating potential but lacking sufficient proficiencies to improve student learning, instructional practice, and/or other responsibilities. They understand what is required for success and are willing to work toward that goal with coaching and support for improved performance. They have a desire and personal motivation to make decisions necessary to become more effective leaders within a reasonable time.

Developing is the designation reserved solely for candidates in the Leadership Development Program who have garnered an "assistant principal intern" placement at a school. Adjustments are made by evaluators as appropriate on the school leader evaluation rubric regarding expectations of assistant principal interns vs. bona fide assistant principals or principals. For the assistant principal interns, levels of proficiency including depth, breadth, knowledge, skill and evidence of demonstration may be modified as deemed appropriate by the evaluator.

UNSATISFACTORY

Unsatisfactory describes leaders who are inadequate. They do not understand what is required for proficiency or have demonstrated through their action and inaction that they choose not to strive for improvement. The goal is to identify, define, and document inadequate performance and make necessary changes very quickly.

Leaders in education make student learning their top priority. They direct energy and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula and evaluate, monitor, and provide feedback to staff on instructional delivery.

Standard 1: Student Learning Results

Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals.

Indicator 1.1.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
The school's learning goals are based on the state's adopted student academic standards	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
and the district's adopted curricula	 District curriculum and instructional initiatives are implemented in all classrooms and classrooms are referenced as "exemplars" throughout district. The leader routinely participates in articulation with other schools to ensure learning goals are aligned K- 12. 	 District curriculum and instructional initiatives are implemented in most classrooms. School Improvement Plan strategies align with district and state continuous improvement goals. The link between standards and student performance is evident from the posting of proficient student work throughout the building. Each academic standard has been translated into student- accessible language. 	•District curriculum and instructional initiatives are implemented for "Basic Compliance."	•District curriculum and instructional initiatives are not implemented.

Leaders in education make student learning their top priority. They direct energy and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula and evaluate, monitor, and provide feedback to staff on instructional delivery.

Standard 1: Student Learning Results

Effective school leaders achieve results on the school's student learning goals.

Indicator 1.1.b	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Student learning results are evidenced by the student performance and growth on	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
statewide assessments; district-determined assessments that are implemented by the district under Section 1008.22, F.S.; international assessments; and other indicators of student success adopted by the district and state.	 The leader has a consistent record of improved student achievement on multiple indicators of student success. Student success occurs not only on the overall averages, but also in each group of historically disadvantaged students. In areas of previous success, the leader aggressively identifies new challenges, moving proficient performance to the exemplary level. Where new challenges emerge, the leader highlights the need, creates effective 	 The leader hits the numbers, meeting performance goals for student achievement. The average of the student population improves, as does the achievement of each group of students who have previously been identified as needing improvement. 	•There is some evidence of improvement, but insufficient evidence of changes in leadership, teaching, and curriculum that will create the improvements necessary to achieve student performance goals.	 Indifferent to the data, this leader blames students, families, and external characteristics. This leader does not believe that student achievement can improve. This leader has not taken decisive action to change time, teacher assignment, curriculum, leadership practices, or other variables in order to improve student achievement.

inter	rventions, and reports		
impro	roved results.		

Leaders in education make student learning their top priority. They direct energy and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula and evaluate, monitor, and provide feedback to staff on instructional delivery.

Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority

Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

Indicator 1.2.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Enables faculty and staff to work as a system focused on student learning	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	 The leader has a system in place for professional learning communities that include expectations, schedules, and consistent monitoring. The leader goes beyond district initiatives to improve student performance such as writing curriculum, creating additional formative assessments, and sharing best practices. 	 The leader expects faculty to work collaboratively and provides time and resources for professional learning communities. The leader requires faculty to consistently and effectively implement school and district initiatives from class to class. 	 The leader allows time to collaborate but does not monitor quality of professional learning community activities. The leader implements district initiatives but accepts compliance level performance. 	 The leader does not allow teachers time to collaborate. The leader does not require district initiatives.

Leaders in education make student learning their top priority. They direct energy and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula and evaluate, monitor, and provide feedback to staff on instructional delivery.

Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority

Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

Indicator 1.2.b	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Maintains a school climate that supports student engagement in learning.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	 The vision, mission, and strategic priorities of the leader and the organization are visible, ingrained in the culture of the organization, and routinely used as a reference point for decisions. Collaborative learning strategies are used in all classrooms as a routine part of instruction Students regularly use technology to work with peers All teachers use technology effectively for lesson delivery and facilitate students' 	 Classroom disruptions are kept to a minimum Master scheduling maximizes student learning opportunities Instruction is bell to bell Classrooms are set-up to enhance student learning and engagement Collaborative learning strategies are used in most classrooms A majority of faculty attempt to use technology for lesson delivery, and regularly involve students in the 	 Teachers participate in hands-on instruction with follow-up activities Collaborative learning strategies are used in some classrooms Classrooms are not consistently set-up to enhance student learning and engagement Technology is used for internal tasks and communication. Individual use by students for research and internet resources is available in a centralized area. 	 Collaborative learning strategies are used for basic compliance or are not used at all Classrooms are not set-up to enhance student learning and engagement Technology is used primarily for administrative tasks. Student access is limited. The majority of faculty members demonstrate limited or no use of technology for lesson delivery.

appro	opriate use of	appropriate use of	•Some faculty attempt to use	
instru	ructional technology.	instructional technology.	technology for lesson delivery	
			and occasionally involve	
			students in the appropriate	
			use of instructional	
			technology.	

Leaders in education make student learning their top priority. They direct energy and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards-based curricula and evaluate, monitor, and provide feedback to staff on instructional delivery.

Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority

Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success.

Indicator 1.2.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Generates high expectations for learning growth by all students.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	With others throughout the district, the leader shares strategies that help put into action a belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff.	 The leader systematically acts on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader's priorities are evident through expenditures, scheduling, professional development and goals. The leader's monitoring process generates a shared vision with the faculty of high expectations for students and research-based instructional strategies in classrooms. 	 The leader inconsistently acts on the belief that all students can learn at high levels by sometimes leading curriculum, instruction, and assessment that reflect and respect the diversity of students and staff. The leader inconsistently utilizes resources without a focused approach. The leader's monitoring process is inconsistent. 	 The leader limits opportunities for all students to meet high expectations by allowing or ignoring practices in curriculum, instruction, and assessment that are culturally, racially, or ethnically insensitive and/or inappropriate. The leader's priorities are not evident. A process for monitoring is not present.

Domain 1: Student Achievement Leaders in education make student learning their top priority. They direct energy and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of guality standards-based curricula and evaluate, monitor, and provide feedback to staff on instructional delivery. Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority Effective school leaders demonstrate that student learning is their top priority through leadership actions that build and support a learning organization focused on student success. **Highly Effective** Unsatisfactory Indicator 1.2.d Effective Needs Improvement/Developing (Local Impact) (System-wide Impact) Engages faculty and staff in (Leadership Potential) efforts to close learning In addition to "Effective" performance gaps among student subgroups within the ♥The leader does not identify ♥The leader has created a ♥Processes to minimize ♥Sub-groups within the school. self-regulating system based achievement gaps within all school and associated with nor implement strategies to on data that guarantees understand the causes of subimpacted subs-groups are achievement gaps have been regular and predictable employed for all sub-groups identified and some group achievement gaps. success of all sub-groups, with positive trend lines processes are underway to ♥Under the leader's even if conditions change showing reduction of gaps for understand root causes. direction, no changes in from one year to another. all subgroups. ♥Some actions to minimize practices or processes have Achievements gaps have the gaps have been been implemented that are been eliminated or implemented but either do designed to address substantially minimized with not reach all sub-group achievement gaps. trend lines consistently students or have inconsistent moving toward elimination or minimal results. of such gaps.

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation

Indicator 2.3.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Implements the Florida	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
Educator Accomplished	In addition to "Effective"			
Practices as described in Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C., through a common language of instruction.	 The leader coaches others within the district to effectively employ the Florida common language of instruction in communicating school goals and expectations. The leader's monitoring process generates a shared vision with the faculty of high expectations for faculty proficiency in the FEAPs, research-based instructional strategies, and the indicators 	 The leader monitors the alignment of plans and classroom activities to research-based strategies and the FEAPs. The leader's effectiveness monitoring process provides the leader and leadership team with a realistic overview of the current reality of faculty effectiveness on the FEAPs, the indicators in the teacher 	 The leader is able to identify some research-based strategies. Has a limited capacity to employ Florida's common language of instruction in aligning school goals and expectations with district and state initiatives. 	 Monitoring is not focused on teacher proficiency in research-based strategies and the FEAPs. Uses terms in the Florida common language of instruction incorrectly thus misguiding others.
	 in the teacher evaluation system. Research-based strategies are shared frequently with faculty, other leaders and throughout the district. 	 evaluation system, and research-based instructional strategies The leader Is proficient in use of the Florida common language of instruction to 		

•The leader is receptive to	align school goals with	
innovative teaching strategies	district and state initiatives.	
and willing to facilitate new		
approaches to instruction.		

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation

Indicator 2.3.b	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Engages in data analysis for instructional planning and	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
improvement.	 Use of data is reflected in all decisions, ranging from course and classroom assignments, to the discontinuation of programs, and matching highly qualified teachers to student needs. Inferences from data are shared widely outside the school community in order to scrutinize the analysis and replicate the success of this school leader. The leader serves as a resource to other leaders as well as district staff in the disaggregation of data, providing advice regarding 	 The leader uses multiple data sources, including state and district assessments, and has at least two years of data. The leader can specifically document examples of decisions in teaching assignment, curriculum, assessment, and intervention that have been made on the basis of data analysis. 	 The leader participates in data-driven decision- making seminars but demonstrates limited evidence of changes based on data. Some decisions are based on data, but others are the result of personal preference and tradition. 	 Data is rarely used for decisions, and the predominant decision-making methodology is either a popularity contest, an imperial mandate from the leader, or independent decisions that are not informed by data or collaborations with others. The leader is indifferent to data and makes no changes in schedule, instruction, curriculum, or leadership compared to the previous year. The data screams, "Change!" The leader's

t	he types and effective		actions say, "Everything is just
- L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L	utilization of reports.		fine."
	•The leader uses multiple		
c	data sources, including state		
a	and district assessments, and		
l l	nas at least three years of		
c	data.		

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation

Indicator 2.3.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Communicates the relationships among academic	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
standards, effective	In addition to "Effective"			
instruction, and student	 The leader routinely shares 	 The leader disaggregates 	•The leader is able to review	•The leader relies on district
performance.	examples of specific	data and conferences with	data to identify patterns in	staff for data.
	leadership, teaching, and	teachers to assist in	student achievement.	•The leader does not share
	curriculum strategies that	identifying patterns in	•The leader is aware of	knowledge with staff to
	are associated with improved	student achievement and	classroom, school, state, and	increase student
	student achievement with	strategy implementation.	district results, and has	achievement.
	other school leaders. •The leader empowers	 The leader has trained faculty and staff to examine 	discussed those results with staff.	
	teaching and administrative	student and staff	♥The leader inconsistently	
	staff to draw inferences from	performance by grade,	shares knowledge with staff	
	data.	department, and student	to increase student	
		subgroups.	achievement.	
		 Data insights are regularly 		
		the subject of faculty		
		meetings.		
		•The leader ensures that		
		professional learning		
		communities are focused on		

	student achievement,	
	including reviews of individual	
	student work compared to	
	standards.	

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation

Indicator 2.3.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Implements the district's adopted curricula and state's	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
adopted academic standards in a manner that is rigorous and culturally relevant to the students and school.	 The leader requires the deconstruction of state academic standards to ensure activities, assignments and assessments are aligned to the correct depth of knowledge. District curriculum and instructional initiatives are implemented in all classrooms and classrooms are referenced as "exemplars" throughout district. 	 The leader requires the deconstruction of state academic standards for the creation of lesson plans and instruction. The leader monitors lesson plans and classroom instruction to ensure state academic standards are being implemented. Each state academic standard has been translated into student-accessible language. The link between standards and student performance is 	 The leader doesn't consistently monitor lesson plans and classroom instruction to ensure state academic standards are implemented. The leader ensures that standards are posted, and required training has been conducted. District curriculum and instructional initiatives are implemented for "basic compliance." 	 The leader doesn't monitor lesson plans and classroom instruction to ensure state academic standards are implemented. Classroom curriculum is a matter of individual discretion and doesn't follow district curriculum maps. District curriculum and instructional initiatives are not implemented.

evident from the pos proficient student w	-	
throughout the build	ling.	
•District curriculum instructional initiativ implemented in mos classrooms.	ves are	

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation

Indicator 2.3.e	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Ensures the appropriate use of high quality formative and interim assessments aligned	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
with the adopted standards and curricula.	 Formative assessments are part of the school culture, and interim assessment data is routinely used to review and adapt plans and priorities that result in increased student achievement. This leader coaches other leaders on effective use of formative and interim 	 The leader uses formative and interim assessment data to make specific and observable changes in teaching, curriculum, and leadership decisions. These specific and observable changes result in increased achievement for students. 	•There is inconsistency in how formative and interim assessment data are used to change schedules, instruction, curriculum, or leadership.	•The leader does not use formative and interim assessment data to change schedules, instruction, curriculum, or leadership
	assessment results.			

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 4 Faculty Development

Indicator 2.4.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Generates a focus on student and professional learning in the school that is clearly linked	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
to the system-wide strategic objectives and the school improvement plan.	 Other leaders in the system credit this leader with sharing ideas, coaching teachers and leaders, and providing technical assistance to implement successful new initiatives. The vision, mission, and strategic priorities of the leader and the organization are visible, ingrained in the culture of the organization, and routinely used as a reference point for decisions. 	 Goals and strategies reflect a clear relationship between the actions of teachers and leaders and the impact on student achievement. The leader offers professional learning opportunities that are aligned to the strategic objectives and the school improvement plan. The decisions of the leader are aligned to the vision, mission, and strategic priorities of the organization and are based on current 	 The leader has established student achievement goals that are specific and measurable, but these efforts have yet to result in improved student achievement. While the vision, mission, and priorities may be visible; they are not consistently linked to the leader's decisions and are not based on current data. 	 The goals are neither measurable nor specific. The leader focuses more on student characteristics than on the actions of the teachers and leaders in the system. The leader is unaware of or disconnected from the organization's vision, mission, and strategic priorities. There is little or no evidence of the relationship of leadership decisions to these organizational guideposts.
		data.		

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 4: Faculty Development

Indicator 2.4.b	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Evaluates, monitors, and provides timely feedback to faculty on the effectiveness of	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
instruction.	 The leader is seen by the teaching staff as capable of coaching them to improve yet willing to hold them accountable for performance that is not considered acceptable. The leader's monitoring process generates a shared vision with the faculty of high expectations for faculty proficiency in the FEAPs, research-based instructional strategies, and the indicators in the teacher evaluation system. 	 Observations and evaluations are used for rating purposes but also for coaching and professional development opportunities. The leader provides formal feedback consistent with district evaluation instruments, and provides informal feedback to reinforce good performance, highlight strengths, and address needs of faculty and staff. District evaluation procedures are followed and timelines are met. The leader's effectiveness monitoring process provides the leader and leadership team with a realistic overview of the current reality of faculty 	 The district teacher evaluation system is being implemented but the process is focused on procedural compliance rather than improving faculty proficiency on instructional strategies that impact student achievement. The leader adheres to the personnel policies in providing formal feedback, although the feedback is only occasionally used to improve organizational performance. District evaluation procedures are not followed or timelines are not met. 	 Monitoring does not comply with the minimum requirements of the district teacher evaluation systems. The leader's formal feedback is not specific. Monitoring is not focused on teacher proficiency in research-based strategies and the FEAPs. District evaluation procedures are not followed and timelines are not met.

	effectiveness on the FEAPs,	
	the indicators in the teacher	
	evaluation system, and	
	research-based instructional	
	strategies.	

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 4: Faculty Development

Indicator 2.4.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Employs a faculty with the instructional proficiencies	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
needed for the school				
population served.	♥The leader engages in a	♥The leader works	♥The leader relies on the	♥The leader approaches the
	variety of traditional and	collaboratively with the staff	district office to post notices	recruitment and hiring
	non-traditional recruitment	in the human resources office	of vacancies and identify	process from a reactive rather
	strategies and then	to define, recruit, and retain	potential applicants	than a proactive standpoint.
	prioritizes based on where to	effective or highly effective		
	find, hire, and retain the	teachers based upon the		
	most effective teachers.	school population served.		
		 Employs faculty with 		
		certifications and		
		instructional capabilities to		
		meet needs of the school's		
		unique student body.		

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 4: Faculty Development

Indicator 2.4.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Identifies faculty instructional proficiency needs, including standards-based content,	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
research-based pedagogy,	•Leader ensures all teachers	•Leader ensures all teachers	•Some teachers complete the	•Teachers do not complete
data analysis for instructional	complete a school-based	complete the district	district professional	the district professional
planning and improvement,	professional development	professional development	development needs	development needs
and the use of instructional	needs assessment for	needs assessment.	assessment.	assessment.
technology.	instructional planning and	 Leader reviews formative 	 Leader identifies 	 Leader is not aware of or is
	improvement.	and summative evaluation	professional learning needs	indifferent to the professional
	 Leader creates a culture of 	results to identify	based on teacher choice	learning needs of the
	continuous improvement,	professional learning needs.	rather than data.	teachers.
	where teachers feel	 Leader disaggregates 		
	comfortable discussing	student achievement data to		
	strengths and weaknesses to	identify professional learning		
	identify professional learning	needs.		
	needs.			

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 4: Faculty Development

Indicator 2.4.e	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Implements professional learning that enables faculty to deliver culturally relevant	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
and differentiated instruction.	♥The leader provides an instructional program where recurring adaptations in instruction address variations in student learning needs, styles, and learning strengths as routine events in all classes.	 The leader's expectations that teachers adapt instructional strategies to meet individual student needs are an accepted part of the shared vision of the leader and faculty. Classroom practices and lesson plans consistently reflect appropriate adjustments based on cultural, racial, and ethnic backgrounds of students. The leader strategically uses educational software to meet the needs of diverse learners based on data. 	 The leader has taken some actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs, and such individualization is evident in some but not most classes. Classroom practices and lessons plans do not consistently reflect differentiation. 	♥Takes no actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs.

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 4: Faculty Development

Effective School Leaders recruit, retain, and develop an effective and diverse faculty and staff.

Indicator 2.4.f	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Provides resources and time and engages faculty in effective individual and	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
collaborative professional learning throughout the school year.	 The leader has demonstrated a record of tailor-made professional development opportunities linked to the needs of each certified staff member. Multiple examples exist that verify a standards-based professional learning community. Skillful problem solving ensures staff has adequate time and support for professional development. All teachers participate in a professional learning community. 	 The leader has collaborated to create Professional Improvement Plans for faculty members, and professional development activities reflect the prioritized needs of these plans. Teachers participate in workshops and conferences outside of the school or district and share acquired information with staff. The leader has an effective professional development plan that includes regular faculty meetings devoted to professional development, 	 The leader is aware of the differentiated needs of faculty, and there are a few instances of differentiated professional development. The leader sometimes devotes faculty meetings to professional development and occasionally shares personal learning experiences with colleagues. Some professional learning communities are evident but there seems to be no plan in place for monitoring. 	 The leader provides professional development that is typically "one size fits all," and there is little or no evidence of recognition of individual faculty needs. Collaborative practices are nonexistent.
	•Teachers participate in Lesson Studies as a means to	professional learning communities to support collaboration, coaching and		

improve instruction and	modeling, as well as	
student performance.	individual teacher mentoring.	

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 5: Learning Environment

Indicator 2.5.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Maintains a safe, respectful, and inclusive student-centered learning environment that is	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
focused on equitable opportunities for learning and building a foundation for a fulfilling life in a democratic society and global economy.	 The leader provides clear, convincing, and consistent evidence of ensuring the creation and maintenance of a learning environment conducive to successful teaching and learning for all and shares these practices with others throughout the district. Involves the school and community to collect data on curricular and extracurricular student involvement to assure equal opportunity for student participation. The buildings, public areas, and classrooms reflect the leader's commitment to a personal sense of pride and 	 The leader provides clear evidence of creating and maintaining a learning environment that is generally conducive to ensuring effective teaching practices and learning, although there may be some exceptions. Collects data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement to assure equal opportunity for student participation. There is a sense of pride, dignity, order, and safety that permeates the building. 	 The leader provides limited evidence of creating a safe school either in planning or actions. Collects data on curricular and extra-curricular student involvement. The rest of the building does not reflect a commitment to organization, discipline, and safety. 	 The leader provides little to no evidence of making plans for a safe and respectful environment to ensure successful teaching and learning or addresses safety concerns as they arise. Does not collect data on curricular and extra-curricula student involvement. Safety procedures are not followed. Physical facilities lack order, decorum, and safety.

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 5: Learning Environment

Indicator 2.5.b	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Recognizes and uses diversity as an asset in the development and	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
implementation of procedures and practices that motivate all students and improve student learning.	 The leader embraces the diversity of the school The leader encourages schoolwide and community activities and events that celebrate diversity and enhance school culture. Celebrations of student success are schoolwide and include all stakeholders. 	 The leader utilizes committees that represent the same diversity as the school, to develop policies and procedures that meet the needs of all students. The leader encourages teachers to celebrate diversity through activities and events within their classrooms. Celebrations of student success are common events and are focused on recognition of the methods and effort expended so students understand what 	 Celebrations of student success are provided but are inconsistent in focusing on how/why students succeeded. The leader notifies teachers of activities and events relating to diversity. 	 No actions other than use of slogans and exhortations to succeed are taken by the leader to address practices and processes that actually enable success. The leader does not notify teachers of activities and events relating to diversity.
	the leader's sense of dignity, order, and safety.	behaviors led to the success.		

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 5: Learning Environment

Indicator 2.5.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Promotes school and classroom practices that validate and value similarities	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
and differences among students.	♥The leader provides an instructional program where recurring adaptations in instruction address variations in student learning needs, styles, and learning strengths as routine events in all classes.	 The leader provides an instructional program where recurring adaptations in instruction address variations in student learning needs, styles, and learning strengths as routine events in most classes. Classroom practices consistently reflect appropriate adjustments based on cultural, racial, and ethnic backgrounds of students. 	♥The leader has taken some actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs, and such individualization is evident in some but not most classes.	♥Takes no actions that set expectations for teachers adapting instructional strategies to meet individual student needs.

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 5: Learning Environment

Indicator 2.5.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Provides recurring monitoring and feedback on the quality of the learning environment.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	 The leader is seen by the teaching staff as capable of coaching them to improve yet willing to hold them accountable for performance that is not considered acceptable. The leader's feedback is explicitly linked to organizational goals, and both the leader and employees can cite examples of where feedback is used to improve individual and organizational performance. 	 A system has been developed to provide regular observation of classrooms. The leader engages in coaching to improve teaching and learning. The leader consistently provides constructive feedback as a means of improving instruction. 	 The observation of classrooms seems to be random and inconsistent. The leader completes the observation process but seldom provides insights into strategies and practices that add value to teacher practices. 	 No system has been developed to provide regular observation of classrooms. Informal feedback is rare and more likely to be associated with negative than positive behavior. The leader views classroom observations as an obligation to make sure teachers are teaching and students are on task Evidence of coaching and mentoring, if any, does not specify effective teaching
	 The leader coaches other leaders on successful observation strategies. 			strategies or provide feedback that is either corrective or accurate.

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 5: Learning Environment

Indicator 2.5.e	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
			Improvement/Developing	
Initiates and supports	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)		
continuous improvement	In addition to "Effective"		(Leadership Potential)	
processes focused on the				
students' opportunities for	•The PBS process is	•The PBS process includes	♥Celebrations of student	♥No actions other than use of
success and well-being.	implemented schoolwide	celebrations of student	success are provided but are	slogans and exhortations to
	and serves as a model for	success focused on	inconsistent in focusing on	succeed are taken by the
	other schools.	recognition of the methods	how/why students	leader to address practices
	♥Where targeted	and effort expended so	succeeded.	and process that actually
	supplemental supports are	students understand what	♥ MTSS is operational in some	enable success.
	not successful, intensive	behaviors led to their success.	classes.	♥ MTSS is not operational.
	individual supports are	♥ MTSS is operational across	 A system has been 	 No system has been
	employed based on needs.	the grades and subjects.	developed to monitor the	developed to monitor the
	 Through all grades and 	 A system has been 	fidelity of the MTSS process,	fidelity of the MTSS process,
	subjects MTSS is providing	developed to monitor the	but is not routinely followed.	but is not routinely followed.
	core universal supports	fidelity and increase the		
	which include research-	success of the MTSS process.		
	based, high-quality, general			
	education instruction and			
	support; screening and			
	benchmark assessments for			
	all students, and continuous			
	data collection continues to			
	inform instruction.			
•The leader coaches other				
-----------------------------	--	--		
leaders on components of an				
effective MTSS process.				

Domain 2: Instructional Leadership

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 5: Learning Environment

Effective school leaders structure and monitor a school learning environment that improves learning for all of Florida's diverse student population.

Indicator 2.5.f	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Engages faculty in recognizing and understanding cultural and developmental issues	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
related to student learning by	The leader has created a	•The leader has identified and	♥Sub-groups within the school	♥Under the leader's
identifying and addressing	self-regulating system based	monitors the use of	and associated with	direction, no changes in
strategies to minimize and/or	on data that guarantees	instructional strategies to	achievement gaps have been	practices or processes have
eliminate achievement gaps.	regular and predictable	minimize and/or eliminate	identified and some processes	been implemented that are
	success of all sub-groups,	achievement gaps.	are underway to understand	designed to address
	even if conditions change		root causes.	achievement gaps.
	from one year to another. • All teachers utilize instructional strategies designed to minimize and/or eliminate achievement gaps		•Some strategies to minimize the gaps have been implemented but either do not reach all sub- group students or have inconsistent or minimal results.	●The leader does not identify nor implement strategies to understand the causes of sub- group achievement gaps.

Domain 3: Organizational Leade	ership			
The success of the school leader	in providing a quality instructio	nal framework, appropriately for	cused faculty development, and	a student oriented learning
environment are essential eleme	ents to student learning growth	and achievement.		
Standard 6: Decision Making				
Effective school leaders employ	and monitor a decision-making	process that is based on vision, r	mission, and improvement priorit	ties using facts and data.
Indicator 3.6.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Gives priority attention to	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing	
decisions that impact the quality of student learning and	In addition to "Effective"		(Leadership Potential)	
teacher proficiency.	 The decisions of the leader 	 Decision making is 	•While the vision, mission,	•The leader is unaware of or
	are consistent and aligned	consistently based on the	and priorities may be visible,	disconnected from the
	with the vision, mission, and	data reflecting the quality of	they are not consistently	organization's vision, mission,
	strategic priorities of the	student learning and teacher	linked to the leader's	and strategic priorities.
	organization.	proficiency (data sources	decisions.	e Thomasia no mlan far
	 The leader's professional development process 	include state, district, school and classroom levels).	 The district teacher evaluation system is being 	•There is no plan for improving faculty proficiency and student achievement.
	generates a shared vision with the faculty of high expectations for faculty	•The district teacher evaluation system is being implemented with fidelity	implemented but the process is focused on procedural compliance	 Professional development is not a priority.
	proficiency in the FEAPs,	with the focus on improving	rather than improving	
	research-based instructional	faculty proficiency and	faculty proficiency on	
	strategies, and the indicators in the teacher evaluation system.	student achievement.The leader makesprofessional development	instructional strategies that impact student achievement.	
		a priority by aligning it to	•The leader makes	
		school needs, requiring	professional development a	
		attendance, implementing	priority but does not	

	with fidelity and	monitor for implementation	
	monitoring for	and effectiveness.	
	effectiveness.		

Domain 3: Organizational Leadership The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement. **Standard 6: Decision Making** Effective school leaders employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data. Indicator 3.6.b **Highly Effective** Effective Needs Unsatisfactory Improvement/Developing (System-wide Impact) (Local Impact) Uses critical thinking and (Leadership Potential) problem solving techniques to In addition to "Effective" define problems and identify •Use of multiple data sources •Some decisions are based • Data is rarely used for solutions. •The leader serves as a resource to other leaders. is reflected in all decision on data but others are the decisions, and the •The leader uses multiple result of personal preference making. predominant decision-making sources of information •The leader can specifically methodology is either a and tradition. including data and research document examples of •The leader can document popularity contest, an based practices and actively changes that resulted in some examples of changes imperial mandate from the seeks model practices from positive outcomes. with inconsistent results. leader, or independent outside the school or district. decisions that are not informed by data or collaborations with others. •The leader does not recognize problems or

identify solutions.

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 6: Decision Making

Effective school employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data.

Indicator 3.6.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Evaluates decisions for effectiveness, equity, intended and actual outcome;	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
implements follow-up actions;	•The leader's decisions	•The records of decision	•Some decisions are based	•Data is rarely used for
and revises as needed.	result in positive outcomes.	making reflect a clear reliance	on data, but others are the	decisions, and the
	 The leader uses multiple 	on state and district student	result of personal preference	predominant decision-making
	sources of information to	achievement data and other	and tradition.	methodology is either a
	inform and evaluate school	important classroom and	 Some decisions are based 	popularity contest, an
	planning and decision	school data.	on the Continuous	imperial mandate from the
	making.	 Decision making is 	Improvement Management	leader, or independent
		consistently based on the	System (CIMS).	decisions that are not
		Continuous Improvement		informed by data or
		Management System (CIMS).		collaborations with others.
				•The leader's decision
				making does not support the
				Continuous Improvement
				Management System (CIMS).

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 6: Decision Making

Effective school employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data.

Indicator 3.6.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Empowers others and distributes leadership when appropriate.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	•The leader has created a	•The leader clarifies the	•The leader uses consensus	•The leader lurches from
	culture in which the vast	decision-making method for	or unilateral decision making,	autocracy to democracy with
	majority of decisions are	major decisions and shares	but does not choose the most	no clear method or the leader
	made collaboratively with	decisions with the staff, using	effective model for the	only has only one decision
	stakeholders.	data to the greatest extent	situation.	making model and does not
		possible to support those	•Staff surveys lack consensus	change decision making
		decisions.	as to teachers' feeling of	structures according to the
		•The leader is able to "read"	empowerment and shared	situation.
		the situation and respond	leadership.	 Staff surveys reflect that
		accordingly.		teachers do not feel that they
		 Staff surveys reflect feeling 		influence school decisions.
		of empowerment and		
		personal responsibility for		
		organizational success.		

The success of the school leader in providing a quality instructional framework, appropriately focused faculty development, and a student oriented learning environment are essential elements to student learning growth and achievement.

Standard 6: Decision Making

Effective school employ and monitor a decision-making process that is based on vision, mission, and improvement priorities using facts and data.

Indicator 3.6.e	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Uses effective technology integration to enhance decision making and efficiency	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
throughout the school.	•Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the community at large.	 Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the school community using a variety of formats in multiple ways through different media in order to ensure communication with all members of the school community. The leader can manipulate the district's various data systems for decision making and efficiency. The leader maintains and monitors school and district calendars. 	 Inconsistently uses a system of communication that is neither timely nor varied and may or may not reach all members of the school community. The leader doesn't consistently use the district's various data systems for decision making and efficiency. The leader doesn't reliably maintain and/or monitor school and district calendars. 	 Does not utilize a system of communication. The leader does not know to use the district's various data systems. The leader does not maintain or monitor school and district calendars.

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 7: Leadership Development

Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization.

Indicator 3.7.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Identifies and cultivates potential and emerging leaders.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	•The leader is noted for	 The leader routinely 	 The leader may identify and 	 No evidence exists of
	identifying leaders from	identifies and recruits new	recruit new leaders, but does	developing leadership in
	unexpected sources,	leaders.	not support their	others.
	 including helping potential leaders find their own leadership strengths even when they had not initially considered a leadership career. The leader has a history of producing successful leaders. 	•The leader identifies and recruits potential leaders and provides them ample opportunity for release time, appropriate training, and on- the-job experiences.	development.	•The leader appears to be indifferent to the need for leadership in the system.

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 7: Leadership Development

Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization.

Indicator 3.7.b	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Provides evidence of delegation and trust in subordinate leaders.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	 The leader empowers people throughout the organization in formal and informal ways. Faculty members participate in the facilitation of meetings and exercise leadership in committees and task forces; other employees, including non- certified staff, exercise appropriate authority and assume leadership roles where appropriate. The climate of trust and delegation in this organization contributes directly to the identification and empowerment of the next generation of leadership. 	 There is a clear pattern of the leader's delegated decisions, with authority to match responsibility at every level in the organization. The relationship of authority and responsibility and delegation of authority is clear in personnel documents, such as evaluations, and also in the daily conduct of meetings and organization business. 	•The leader sometimes delegates, but also maintains decision-making authority that could be delegated to others.	 The leader reserves almost all decision-making authority, even on immaterial matters. The leader does not afford subordinates the opportunity or support to develop or to exercise independent judgment.

Domain 3: Organizational Lead	ership			
This domain addresses proficier	ncies that impact the quality of a	broad array of school operations	. The focus is applying these pro	oficiencies to improve student
achievement, instructional lead	ership, and professional conduct			
Standard 7: Leadership Develo	pment			
Effective school leaders actively	cultivate, support, and develop	other leaders within the organiza	ation.	
Indicator 3.7.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Plans for succession	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
management in key positions.	In addition to "Effective"		(Leadership Potential)	
	 The leader has multiple professional leaders who are ready to assume leadership responsibilities, and the leader has already established a track record placing former mentees in positions of leadership. The leader continues to provide guidance and mentorship to new, developing, and emerging leaders even when they are outside of the leader's personal span of leadership. 	•The leader has personally trained at least one professional who is capable of replacing the leader today, addressing skills, knowledge, dispositions and actions of leadership.	•The leader provides some training to professionals who may, in time, be capable of independently assuming a leadership role.	•The leader has not provided the opportunities or coaching necessary to equip current professionals with the skills necessary to assume additional responsibilities, and there does not appear to be a coherent and consistent leadership-training program in place.

throughout the system cite this leader as a mentor and reason for their success.

Domain 3: Organizational Lead	ership			
This domain addresses proficien	ncies that impact the quality of a	broad array of school operations	s. The focus is applying these pro	oficiencies to improve student
achievement, instructional lead	ership, and professional conduct			
Standard 7: Leadership Develo	pment			
Effective school leaders actively	cultivate, support, and develop	other leaders within the organiza	ation.	
Indicator 3.7.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Promotes teacher-leadership	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing	
functions focused on instructional proficiency and	In addition to "Effective"		(Leadership Potential)	
student learning.	•The leader encourages	•The leader encourages	•The leader seldom	•The leader does not
	subordinates to participate in	subordinates to participate in	encourages subordinates to	encourage subordinates to
	leadership development	leadership development to	participate in leadership	participate in leadership
	opportunities throughout the	demonstrate leadership	development.	development.

leadership development	leadership development to	participate in leadership	participate in leadership	
opportunities throughout the	demonstrate leadership	development.	development.	
district and beyond.	proficiencies and develops	•The leader seldom provides	•The leader does not provide	
•The leader provides	opportunities at the school	appropriate coaching and	coaching and mentoring to	
coaching and mentoring to	through release time.	mentoring to these potential	these potential leaders.	
potential leaders outside of	 The leader also provides 	leaders as follow-up and		
their school.	appropriate coaching and	reflection on provided		
	mentoring to these potential	experiences.		
	leaders as follow-up and			
	reflection on provided			
	experiences.			

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 7: Leadership Development

Effective school leaders actively cultivate, support, and develop other leaders within the organization.

Indicator 3.7.e	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Develops sustainable and supportive relationships between school leaders,	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
parents, community, higher education and business leaders.	 While maintaining on-site work relationships with faculty and students as a priority, the leader finds ways to develop, support, and sustain key stakeholder relationships with parent organizations, community leaders, and businesses, and mentors other school leaders in quality relationship building. The leader has effective relationships throughout all stakeholder groups and models effective relationship building for other school leaders. 	 The leader systematically networks with all key stakeholder in order to cultivate, support, and develop potential and emerging leaders. The leader has effective collegial relationships with most faculty and subordinates. Utilizes a system of open communication that promotes relationships and a sense of community. 	 The leader is inconsistent in planning and taking action to network with stakeholder groups to support leadership development. Relationship skills are employed inconsistently. 	♥The leader makes no attempt to or has difficulty working with a diverse group of people. Consequently, the leader does not network with individuals and groups in other organizations to build collaborative partnerships in support of leadership development.

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 8: School Management

Indicator 3.8.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Organizes time, tasks and projects effectively with clear objectives and coherent plans.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	 The leader uses project management as a teaching device, helping others in the organization understand the interrelationship of complex project milestones throughout the organization. The leader uses complex project management to build systems thinking throughout the organization. Project plans are visible so that accomplishments are publicly celebrated and project challenges are open for input from a wide variety of sources. Successful project results are published. 	 The leader's projects are managed using clear and written lists of milestones, deadlines, and persons responsible. Project management documents are revised and updated as milestones are achieved or deadlines are changed. The leader understands the impact of a change in a milestone or deadline on the entire project, and communicates those changes to the appropriate people in the organization. Successful projects and results are evident. 	•The leader's projects are managed using lists of milestones and deadlines, but are infrequently updated or monitored.	 The leader's project management is haphazard or nonexistent. There is little or no evidence of lists of milestones and deadlines.

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 8: School Management

vstem-wide Impact) ddition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
eader provides nentation of multi- g and priority gement. onal organization the leader to consider ations and be available gage in leadership ies and collaboration eople at all levels. calendar is focused on iorities of the leader	 The leader identifies and organizes resources to achieve curricular and instructional goals and manages a daily to do list to accomplish all opportune and required tasks. The priorities of the organization and the multitask priorities are closely matched. 	•The leader's calendar and task list can be available with warning. Workspace is tolerable, but somewhat unorganized.	 Deadlines and priorities are not met. The leader's personal workspace is disorganized. Multi-tasking, if it exists, is more about putting out fires than about implementing organizational priorities.
	dition to "Effective" eader provides eentation of multi- g and priority eement. onal organization the leader to consider tions and be available age in leadership es and collaboration eople at all levels.	Idition to "Effective"eader provides nentation of multi- g and priority ement.•The leader identifies and organizes resources to achieve curricular and instructional goals and manages a daily to do list to accomplish all opportune and required tasks.onal organization the leader to consider tions and be available age in leadership es and collaboration eople at all levels.•The priorities of the organization and the multi- task priorities are closely matched.	Idition to "Effective"• The leader identifies and organizes resources to achieve curricular and instructional goals and manages a daily to do list to accomplish all opportune and required tasks.• The leader's calendar and task list can be available with warning. Workspace is tolerable, but somewhat unorganized.• The leader to consider tions and be available age in leadership eople at all levels. ralendar is focused on orities of the leader• The priorities are closely matched.• The leader's calendar and task list can be available with warning. Workspace is tolerable, but somewhat unorganized.

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 8: School Management

Indicator 3.8.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Manages schedules, delegates, and allocates resources to promote collegial efforts in	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
school improvement and	 The leader not only 	 The leader regularly 	 The leader is aware of 	•The leader is unaware of or
faculty development.	removes diversions and	removes tasks or delegates	organizational priorities, but	indifferent to organizational
	obstacles confronting the	tasks so that an examination	the daily emergencies	priorities.
	school, but also helps to	of projects implemented,	frequently intrude into a	
	focus the entire organization	goals achieved, and	focus on the priorities with	
	in the right way by carefully	achievement gains reflect the	little evidence of effective	
	matching tasks to priorities.	priorities of the organization.	multi-tasking to prevent or minimize such intrusions.	

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 8: School Management

Indicator 3.8.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Is fiscally responsible and	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing	
maximizes the impact of fiscal resources on instructional	In addition to "Effective"		(Leadership Potential)	
priorities.	•This leader regularly saves	•The leader is knowledgeable	•The leader sometimes	•The leader has little or no
	resources of time and money	about the budgeting process,	meets project deadlines, but	record of keeping,
	for the organization, and	categories, and funding	only at the expense of	commitment for schedules,
	proactively redeploys those	sources to maximize all	breaking the budget; or	and/or adherence to budgets.
	resources to help the	available dollars to achieve	meets budget, but fails to	 The leader has no
	organization achieve its	strategic priorities.	meet deadlines.	knowledge of or refuses to
	strategic priorities.	•The leader has a	 The leader has little 	follow the rules of finance.
	 Results indicate the 	documented history of	experience with or knowledge	
	positive impact of	managing complex projects,	of the rules of finance.	
	redeployed resources in	schedules, deadlines, and	 The leader manages the 	
	achieving strategic priorities.	budget commitments.	budget but does not tie	
	 The leader has established 	 The leader documents a 	expenditures to instructional	
	processes to leverage	process to direct funds to	priorities.	
	existing limited funds and	increase student achievement		
	increase capacity through	that is based on best practices		
	grants, donations, and	and leveraging of antecedents		
	community resources.	of excellence in resources,		
		time, and instructional		
		strategies.		

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 9: Communication

Indicator 3.9.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Actively listens to and learns from students, staff, parents, and community stakeholders.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	•The leader models open	 Observations and 	 The leader appears to listen 	•The leader hears what other
	communication.	documentation provided by	to others, but often relies on	say, but relies on personal
	 The leader goes to 	the leader demonstrate that	interpretation of events	interpretation.
	exceptional lengths to listen	the leader listens well, seeks	rather than seeking out	•The leader does not appear
	to students.	mutual understanding, and	alternative perspectives and	to communicate openly,
	•The leader actively engages	welcomes sharing of	interpretation.	omitting key details and
	in "active listening" to the	information.		attempting to resolve
	faculty and staff.	 The leader knows student 		challenges without input or
	 All stakeholders report 	names, regularly greets		assistance.
	confidence in their ability to	students by name, and is		
	gain a respectful hearing	proactive in talking with and		
	from the leader.	listening to students.		
		 Faculty members regularly 		
		have the opportunity for one-		
		to-one meetings with the		
		leader.		

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 9: Communication

Indicator 3.9.b	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Recognizes individuals for effective performance.	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
	•The leader balances	•The leader knows all staff	 Inconsistently recognizes 	•Does not recognize
	individual recognition with	members and makes an effort	individuals for	individuals for
	team and district-wide	to recognize the personal and	accomplishments.	accomplishments.
	recognition.	individual contribution each		
	•The leader publicly	one makes.		
	congratulates peers from	•The leader applies expected		
	other schools for their	forms of recognition such as		
	achievements.	nominations for Teacher of		
	•The leader applies creative	the Year and Essential		
	forms of recognition.	Support Personnel of the		
		Year.		

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 9: Communication

Indicator 3.9.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Communicates student expectations and performance information to students,	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
parents, and community.	 Reports of academic achievement can be produced at any time, and for students who require particular assistance, the frequency of academic achievement reporting is increased. The leader hosts a variety of parent involvement activities designed to communicate student expectations and performance information. 	 Expectations and goals are provided and communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form regarding student performance Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the school community using a variety of formats in multiple ways through different media in order to ensure communication with all members of the school community. Ensures that all community stakeholders and educators are aware of the school goals 	 Expectations and goals are provided and communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form regarding some student performance. Designs a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information to, from, and with the school community on goals and expectations, but it is inconsistently implemented. 	 Expectations and goals regarding student performance are not provided or are not communicated in a timely, comprehensible and actionable form. The leader's actions demonstrate a lack of understanding of the importance of establishing clear expectations, structures, rules, and procedures for students and staff.

	for instruction, student	
	achievement, and strategies	
	and progress toward meeting	
	these goals.	

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 9: Communication

Indicator 3.9.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Maintains high visibility at	(System-wide Impact)	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing	
school and in the community and regularly engages	In addition to "Effective"		(Leadership Potential)	
stakeholders in the work of	•The leader utilizes the	 The leader understands the 	 The leader understands the 	 The leader avoids public
the school.	political nature of the	effect of personal behavior	effect of one's behavior as a	dialogue or appoints others to
	leadership position to	and the impact of decisions	leader and the impact of	be the spokesperson.
	identify the various players in	on other individuals, the	decisions on other individuals,	 The leader does not know
	the environment and to	culture, and the climate of	culture, and climate of the	students, avoids student
	prioritize the source and	the school, and implements	school but has yet to establish	contact except where
	accuracy of information that	procedures and strategies to	procedures or implement	leadership presence is
	is transmitted at the school.	represent the school and	strategies to ensure that	required, and retreats to the
	•The leader works closely	district in the best possible	decisions routinely represent	office during most occasions
	with community members to	light.	the school and district in the	where students are likely to
	establish	 The leader is particularly 	best possible light.	be present.
	programs/partnerships in the	visible at the beginning and	 The leader is visible, often 	 Many students do not know
	school for the benefit of the	end of the school day and	greets students by name, and	the leader's name or
	students.	during all other times when	talks with students	recognize the leader on sight.
		students are present.	frequently.	 The leader does not reach
		•The leader seeks community	 The leader attends 	out to the community.
		members/resources to assist	community events.	
		the school in reaching goals.		

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 9: Communication

Indicator 3.9.e	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Creates opportunities within the school to engage students, faculty, parents, and	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
community stakeholders in	•The leader maintains	•Faculty meetings include	•The leader typically limits	•Faculty meetings consist of
constructive conversations	listening systems for major	open, two-way discussions.	listening to questions during	the reading of
about important school issues.	stakeholders (parents, teachers, students, patrons,	•The leader has established an explicit process for	faculty meetings.	announcements with little or no interaction.
	and staff), and explicitly plans analysis and reflection	engaging the public in both celebrations and discussion of		
	of data, and establishes structures that facilitate	ontroversial issues.The leader has established		
	responsive action based on	an effective communication		
	feedback and analysis. •The leader's calendar	plan, communicates openly, and is receptive to ideas from		
	reflects numerous individual and small group meetings	a variety of sources and perspectives.		
	with staff at every level, not			
	just with the direct reports.Communication strategies			
	may include focus groups,			
	surveys, student advisory committees, and numerous			

one-to-one student		
conversations.		

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 9: Communication

Indicator 3.9.f	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Utilizes appropriate technologies for communication and	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
collaboration.	 The school has a current parent/student friendly website with links to external resources. The leader and teachers use technological tools for personal scheduling/task management. Teachers participate in online learning communities to share effective instructional strategies with other educators. 	 Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the school community using a variety of formats in multiple ways through different media in order to ensure communication with all members of the school community. The school web page is up to date with current school information. The leader uses technological tools for personal scheduling/task management. 	 The web page has been updated within the past 60 days, with current school year information posted. The leader seldom uses technological tools beyond e- mail. 	 The web page is static, out of date, or non-existent. The leader does not use technological tools.

This domain addresses proficiencies that impact the quality of a broad array of school operations. The focus is applying these proficiencies to improve student achievement, instructional leadership, and professional conduct.

Standard 9 Communication

Indicator 3.9.g	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Ensures faculty receives timely information about student learning requirements,	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
academic standards, and all other local state and federal administrative requirements and decisions.	•Utilizes a system of open communication that is all- encompassing and a model for others.	 Utilizes a system of open communication that provides for the timely, responsible sharing of information with the school community using a variety of formats in multiple ways through different media in order to ensure communication with all members of the school community. The leader effectively communicates information from the district to the faculty. 	•Utilizes a single system of communication that provides some information for the school such as web page or paper notices.	•Does not utilize a system of communication.

This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors

Indicator 4.10.a	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Adheres to the Code of Ethics and the Principles of Professional Conduct for the	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
Education Profession in	♥There is clear, convincing,	♥The leader's primary	♥The leader's behaviors	♥The leader's patterns of
Florida, pursuant to Rules 6B-	and consistent evidence that	professional concern is for	enable recurring	behavior are inconsistent
1.001 and 6B-1.006, F.A.C.	the school leader abides by	the student and for the	misunderstanding and	with the Code of Ethics, Ru
	the spirit, as well as the	development of the student's	misperceptions about the	6B-1.001, or disciplinary
	intent, of policies, laws, and	potential. Therefore, the	leader's conduct and ethics as	action has been initiated
	regulations that govern the	leader acquires the	expressed in the Code of	based on violation of the
	school and the education	knowledge and skills to	Ethics of the Education	Principles of Professional
	profession in the state of	exercise the best professional	Profession and Principles of	Conduct, Rule 6B-1.006.
	Florida, and inspires others	judgment and integrity.	Professional Conduct.	
	within the organization to	♥The leader demonstrates	♥The leader has only a	
	abide by that same behavior.	the importance of	general recollection of issues	
	♥The leader clearly	maintaining the respect and	addressed in the Code of	
	demonstrates the	confidence of his or her	Ethics of the Education	
	importance of maintaining	colleagues, of students, of	Profession and Principles of	
	the respect and confidence	parents, and of other	Professional Conduct, and	
	of his or her colleagues, of	members of the community.	there is limited evidence that	
	students, of parents, and of	As a result the leader adheres	the school leader abides by	
	other members of the	to the prescribed ethical	the spirit, as well as the	
	community, as a result the	conduct.	intent, of policies, laws, and	
	leader achieves and sustains		regulations that govern the	
	the highest degree of ethical		school and the education	

conduct and serves as a	profession in the state of	
model for others within the	Florida.	
district.		

This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors

	· ·			,
Indicator 4.10.b Demonstrates resiliency by staying focused on the school vision and reacting	Highly Effective (System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	Effective (Local Impact)	Needs Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	Unsatisfactory
constructively to the barriers to success that include disagreement and dissent with leadership.	 The leader builds resilience in colleagues and throughout the organization by habitually highlighting and praising "good mistakes" where risks were taken, mistakes were made, lessons were learned, and both the individual and organization learned for the future. The leader encourages constructive dissent in which multiple voices are heard and final decision improved and more broadly supported. 	 The leader uses dissent to inform final decisions, improve the quality of decision-making, and broaden support for his or her final decision. The leader bounces back quickly from adversity while remaining focused on the vision of the organization. 	 There is some evidence that the leader tolerates dissent, but very little of it in public. The leader tolerates dissent, but there are minimal to no systemic processes to enable revision of levels of engagement, mental models, and/or misconceptions. 	♥Dissent or dialogue about the need for improvements is absent due to a climate of fear and intimidation and/or apathy.

This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors

Indicator 4.10.c	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Demonstrates a commitment to the success of all students, identifying barriers and their	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
impact on the well-being of the school, families, and local community.	•The leader has created a culture of support in which all stakeholders are committed to the success and well-being of students and willing to contribute time and resources toward the development of the whole child.	•The leader's primary professional concern is for the student well-being and for the development of the student's full potential. Therefore, the leader works to acquire the resources needed to overcome barriers which are beyond the control of the school, family, and community.	 There are segments of the school community whose developmental needs are not addressed, and leadership efforts to understand and address those needs are not evident. The leader sometimes implements unpopular policies unenthusiastically or in a perfunctory manner. 	 ♥The leader ignores or subverts policy decisions or initiatives focused on student learning or faculty development that are unpopular or difficult.

This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors

Indicator 4.10.d	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Engages in professional learning that improves professional practice in	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
alignment with the needs of	•The leader is frequently and	•The leader engages in	•The leader actively	 The leader generally has a
the school system.	regularly an active	professional development	participates in professional	fixed mindset and stopped
	participant in teacher-led professional development, demonstrating with a	that is directly linked to organizational needs. ●The priority is given to	development, but it is reflective of a personal agenda rather than the	acquiring new information after completing graduate school and displays little or no
	commitment of time and	building on personal	strategic needs of the	evidence of new learning or
	intellect that the leader is a learner and is willing to learn	Ieadership strengths.The leader personally	organization. •The leader attends	sharing that learning with colleagues.
	from colleagues on a regular	attends and actively	professional development but	•This leader might introduce
	basis.	participates in the	does not incorporate	a professional development
	•This leader approaches every professional	professional development that is required of other	strategies at the school level to impact change.	program, but quickly leaves
	development opportunity with a view toward multidimensional impact.	 The leader personally participates in professional 	to impact change.	the room, sending the signal to colleagues that "This really is not worth my time." •When the leader does
	•Rather than merely	development to demonstrate		engage in personal
	adopting the strategies of external professional	a commitment to life-long learning.		professional development, it is likely to be a national
	development, this leader			conference selected for its
	creates specific adaptations			location rather than its
	so that learning strategies			content or the strategic

become part of the culture of	relationship to organizational
the organization and are	needs.
"home-grown" rather than	
externally generated.	
•The leader routinely shares	
professional development	
opportunities with other	
schools, departments,	
districts, and organizations in	
order to build the	
professional knowledge	
opportunities of the entire	
community.	

This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors

Indicator 4.10.e Demonstrates willingness to admit error and learn from it.	Highly Effective (System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	Effective (Local Impact)	Needs Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	Unsatisfactory
	♥The leader offers frank acknowledgement of prior personal and organizational failures and clear suggestions for system-wide learning resulting from those lessons.	 The leader admits failures quickly, honestly, and openly with the direct supervisor and immediate colleagues. Non-defensive attitude exists in accepting feedback and discussing errors and failures. There is evidence of learning from past errors with defined structures and processes in place for eliciting input. The leader readily acknowledges personal and organizational failures and offers clear suggestions for personal learning. 	 There is some evidence of learning from mistakes. The leader is able to accept evidence of personal and organizational failures or mistakes when offered by others, but does not initiate or support the evidence gathering. 	 The leader is unwilling to acknowledge errors. When confronted with evidence of mistakes, the leader is defensive and resistant to learning from mistakes.

This domain is focused on the professional integrity and dedication to excellence of the school leader. The indicators in this domain focus on behaviors essential to success as a school leader.

Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors

Indicator 4.10.f	Highly Effective	Effective	Needs	Unsatisfactory
Demonstrates explicit improvement in specific performance areas based on	(System-wide Impact) In addition to "Effective"	(Local Impact)	Improvement/Developing (Leadership Potential)	
previous evaluations and formative feedback.	♥The influence of previous evaluations has a positive impact on the leader and on the entire organization.	♥Improvement needs noted in the leader's previous evaluations are explicitly reflected in projects, tasks, and priorities.	♥The leader is aware of improvement needs noted in previous evaluations, but has not translated them into an action plan.	♥No evidence or reference to previous leadership evaluations is present in the leader's choices of tasks and priorities.

Appendix: C

Administrative Organizational Chart

